

Town of
Port Hedland

*City Growth Plan
Stakeholder Reference Group*



Welcome

- Mayor's welcome
- Introductions and particular interest in City Growth Plan
- Pilbara's Port City Growth Plan objectives



Proposed Agenda



- Project Overview and Current Status – Owen Hightower, RPS
- Proposed SRG: Terms of Reference – Daniel Marsh, WorleyParsons
- Outline of Precinct Plans – Owen
- Key Theme Focus Groups w/c 20 June – Daniel
- Growth Forum Format (6/7 July) – Daniel
- Summary of Key Points

Project Status

- Owen Hightower, RPS



Reference Group



- Nomination has been open to 150+ individuals/organisations on ToPH database
- Open forum – feel safe to raise issues
- SRG can add value by providing reactions to plan development and raising any reservations
- However, SRG members are not being asked to represent opinions other than your own
- Technical level consultations progressing concurrently

Role of SRG



- Provide input to planning process at four meetings
- Provide advice on specific issues
 - SRG#1 – Critique focus group engagement
 - SRG#2 – Validate Growth Forum
 - SRG#3 – Review Growth Plan Strategies
 - SRG#4 – Review Implementation Program
- Raise any issues during plan development
- Decision-making remains with Steering Group

Conduct

- Be available to participate
- Respect confidentiality where requested
- Respect spirit in which contributions are made
- Direct media enquiries to ToPH



Precinct Plans

- Owen Hightower



Focus Groups - Purpose

- Eight key themes for discussion
- Small groups (10-15); open to general public by nomination
- Aimed at testing ideas and working concepts – provides meaningful opportunity to influence thinking
- Not a decision-making group
- Focus is on growth solutions rather than validating the problems
- Participants likely to have alternative ideas about how to overcome implementation challenges – all input is welcome



Focus Groups - Format

- Presentation by consultant
 - Key issues: constraints and opportunities
 - Options or solutions considered
 - Key questions being tackled by Growth Plan
 - Key implementation challenges
- Structured discussion of three or four key questions / implementation challenges
- ToPH Executive Director acknowledgement of key themes



#1 Strategic Industry – Key Questions

- What are the constraints to downstream processing / support industries and how are these best overcome?
- Is the industrial land allocation envisaged in the Growth Plan likely to be sufficient? Are there alternatives to Boodarie for noxious industry? Are buffer areas sufficient to prevent future land use conflicts?
- What are the key opportunities from realignment of the Goldsworthy rail-line?
- What contribution to community infrastructure is envisaged by major industry?
- What are the best ways to overcome key implementation challenges identified by consultants?

#1 Strategic Industry – Participants

Confirmed (at Mon 13 June)	Invited
BHP, Rio Tinto, PHPA, Dept of Health, Dept of Planning, Dept of Minerals and Petroleum, Dept of State Development, LandCorp, Pilbara Cities, Chamber of Commerce	Atlas, FMG, Hancock, Newcrest, Mineral Resources, Dept of Environment, Chair Dust Management Taskforce, CME, Pilbara Development Commission



#2 Transport & Industry– Key Questions

- Given forecast level of need, what are the best ways to provide adequate capacity? What about current planning needs to change to address any capacity gaps? What missing key information is critical to future decision-making?
- Do working concepts for precincts (and buffers) have any fatal flaws from the point of view of delivery of (power, water, wastewater, telecoms) infrastructure?
- What are the most promising opportunities for alternative energy generation?
- What are long-term plans and timing for road upgrades?
- Is thinking on transport infrastructure implementation appropriate?



#2 Transport & Infrastructure – Participants

Confirmed (at Mon 13 June)	Invited
WaterCorporation, Telstra, LandCorp, Pilbara Cities	Horizon Power, Optus, Dept Transport, Main Roads, Dept Planning, Dept State Development, Pilbara Development Commission, PHIC, Bullbuck Transport



#3 West End – Key Questions

- What is the vision for the West End? Is it to be the future town centre or a cultural node?
- What are appropriate land uses? Does Amendment 22 underpin this vision?

#3 West End – Participants

Confirmed (at Mon 13 June)	Invited
BHP, PHPA, Dept of Planning, Dept of Minerals and Petroleum, Dept of State Development, LandCorp, Pilbara Cities, Office of EPA	Atlas, FMG, Hancock, Newcrest, Mineral Resources, Dept of Minerals and Petroleum, Dept of State Development, Chair Dust Management Taskforce, CME, Pilbara Development Commission, Chamber of Commerce,



#4 Environment – Key Questions

- How risk-adverse should the Plan be in considering climate change adaptation?
- What are the opportunities for environmental offsets?
- What are the best ways to overcome key implementation challenges identified by consultants?



#4 Environment – Participants

Confirmed (at Mon 13 June)	Invited
BHP, PHPA, Dept of Planning, LandCorp, Pilbara Cities, Office of EPA, Chris Whalley	Dept of Environment & Conservation, Dept of Environment CCU, Care for Hedland, Chamber of Commerce , BHP



#5 Economic Development – Key Questions

- Are there any fatal flaws in the outline precinct plans (eg commercial centres and industrial areas around the airport and Wedgefield)?
- Which of the economic development strategies development by the consultants is most likely to fit with Port Hedland community values?
- What is required to support sectors such as agriculture, defence and education?
- What is required to support the small business sector?
- Are there any fatal flaws or significant gaps in spatial planning of precincts that fail to support Aboriginal economic development?
- What are the best ways to overcome key implementation challenges identified by consultants?



#5 Economic Diversification – Participants

Confirmed (at Mon 13 June)	Invited
Pilbara Cities, Chamber of Commerce, Small Business Centre, Compass,, Dept of Minerals and Petroleum, Dept of State Development, LandCorp, Pilbara Cities, Pilbara TAFE, Indigenous Coordination Centre, Many Rivers	Dept Regional Development & Lands, PHIC, BHP, Rio Tinto, Dept of Planning, PHPA, Dept of Agriculture, Dept of Housing, Dept of Education , Atlas, FMG, Pilbara Development Commission , Yamatji Marlpa



#7 Housing Affordability – Key Questions

- What are the Port Hedland barriers and impediments to affordability?
- What local practices and which policies have most promise?
- Of the available affordability policy prescriptions identified by consultants, which are most appropriate in the Port Hedland context?
- What other structural interventions might be appropriate because of Port Hedland's characteristics?
- What are the best ways to overcome key implementation challenges identified by consultants?

#7 Housing Affordability – Participants

Confirmed (at Mon 13 June)	Invited
Pilbara Cities, FMG, Blaxland, LandCorp, Jan Ford Real Estate, First National	BHP, Chamber of Commerce, Dept Regional Development & Lands, Dept of Housing, Dept of Education, Pilbara Development Commission , Jaxon, Cedar Woods, Mirvac, Humfrey, Satterley, Stockland, Ray White, Port Hedland Real Estate, Crawfords, Foundation Housing



#8 Sustainable Community & Amenity – Key Questions

- Given forecast level of need, what are the best ways to provide adequate capacity? What about current planning needs to change to address any capacity gaps? What missing key information is critical to future decision-making?
- Do working concepts for precincts (and buffers) have any fatal flaws from the point of view of delivery of (power, water, wastewater, telecoms) infrastructure?
- What are the most promising opportunities for alternative energy generation?
- What are long-term plans and timing for road upgrades?
- Is thinking on transport infrastructure implementation appropriate?



#8 Sustainable Community – Participants

Confirmed (at Mon 13 June)	Invited
Pilbara Cities, LandCorp, Dept of Planning, Tourism NW, FORM	BHP, Chamber of Commerce, Care for Hedland, Dept Sport & Rec, FMG, Swans Football Club, Port Hedland Rovers, Hawks Rugby League, Uniting Church, Port Hedland Historical Association, Wedgefield Association, Wangka Maya Language Centre, Lotteries West, Dept Regional Development & Lands,



Indigenous Aspirations for Growth Plan

Private meetings:

- Bloodwood Tree
- IBN
- Pilbara Indigenous Aboriginal Women's Association
- ToPH Aboriginal Affairs Working Groups

Pilbara's Port City Growth Forum 6/7 July

- Two days of working sessions
- Consultant team, Steering Group and Focus Group invitees
- Open to public participation
- Public summary of 'raw' key directions end of day 2 (4-5pm)

