



# MINUTES

## Special Council Meeting Monday, 15 May 2023

Date: Monday, 15 May 2023

Time: 5:30pm

Location: Civic Centre  
McGregor St  
Port Hedland

Distribution Date:

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to be the name of the Mayor.

---

Mayor

## Order Of Business

Item 1	Opening of Meeting.....	4
Item 2	Acknowledgement of Traditional Owners and Dignitaries .....	4
Item 3	Recording of Attendance.....	4
3.1	Attendance.....	4
3.2	Attendance by Telephone / Instantaneous Communications .....	4
3.3	Apologies .....	4
3.4	Approved Leave of Absence.....	5
3.5	Disclosure of Interests.....	5
Item 4	Public Time.....	5
4.1	Public Question Time .....	5
4.1.1	Camilo Blanco .....	5
4.2	Public Statement Time .....	7
4.2.1	Daniel Hendon.....	7
4.2.2	Levi Trewick.....	8
4.2.3	Camilo Blanco .....	9
4.2.4	Roger Higgins.....	14
4.3	Petitions/Deputations/Presentations/Submissions .....	14
4.3.1	Owen Hightower, Director RFF Australia and David Campbell, Campbell's Transport in relation to item 8.2.1 - Temporary Approval of Workforce Accommodation (Former Port Hedland Detention Centre) at Lot 2 (No.15) Dempster Street.....	14
Item 5	Questions from Members without Notice.....	15
5.1.1	Cr Renae Coles .....	15
5.1.2	Cr Jan Gillingham.....	17
5.1.3	Cr Ash Christensen.....	17
Item 6	Announcements by Presiding Member without Discussion.....	18
Item 7	Declarations of All Members to Have Given Due Consideration to All Matters Contained in the Business Paper before the Meeting.....	18
Item 8	Reports of Officers.....	19
8.1	Corporate Services .....	19
8.1.1	Adoption of 2022-23 Interim Budget Review .....	19
8.1.2	2023/24 Rating Strategy.....	25
8.2	Regulatory Services .....	32

---

8.2.1	Temporary Approval of Workforce Accommodation (Former Port Hedland Detention Centre) At Lot 2 (No.15) Dempster Street, Port Hedland .....	32
Item 9	New Business of an Urgent Nature (Late items).....	53
Item 10	Closure.....	53
10.1	Date of Next Meeting .....	53
10.2	Closure.....	53

---

**Item 1 Opening of Meeting**

---

The Presiding Member declared the meeting open at 5:30 pm.

---

**Item 2 Acknowledgement of Traditional Owners and Dignitaries**

---

The Presiding Member acknowledges the Kariyarra people as the Traditional Custodians of the land that we are meeting on and recognises their strength and resilience and he pays his respects to elders past, present and emerging.

---

**Item 3 Recording of Attendance**

---

*Important note:*

*This meeting is being live streamed and audio recorded to facilitate community participation and for minute-taking purposes, which may be released upon request to third parties. In accordance with Section 6.16 of the Town of Port Hedland Local Law on Standing Orders members of the public are not permitted to use any visual or vocal electronic device or instrument to record the proceedings of any meeting unless that person has been given permission by the Presiding Member to do so. Members of the public are also reminded that in accordance with section 6.17(4) of the Town of Port Hedland Standing Orders Local Law mobile telephones must be switched off and not used during the meeting.*

**3.1 Attendance**

**Present:** Mayor Peter Carter  
Deputy Mayor Tim Turner  
Cr Renae Coles  
Cr Elmar Zielke  
Cr Jan Gillingham  
Cr Ash Christensen  
Cr David Eckhart  
Cr Jason Keller

**In Attendance:** Carl Askew (Chief Executive Officer)  
Dale Putland (Acting Director Corporate Services)  
Josephine Bianchi (Director Community Services)  
Casimir Penheiro (Senior Urban Planner)  
Ryan Pickup (Manager Infrastructure Projects & Assets)  
Hilary McLean (Governance Advisor)  
Karen Krollig (Governance Support Officer)  
Stephanie Sikaloski (Audit, Risk & Insurance Advisor)

**3.2 Attendance by Telephone / Instantaneous Communications**

**3.3 Apologies**  
Nil

### 3.4 Approved Leave of Absence

Cr Flo Bennett

### 3.5 Disclosure of Interests

Name	Item no.	Interest	Nature
Cr Eckhart	8.1.1	Impartiality	Member of a Sports Club that may be discussed

---

## Item 4 Public Time

---

*Important note:*

*In accordance with section 6.7(3) of the Town of Port Hedland Local Law on Standing Orders, members of the public are required to complete a question form and place the completed form in the tray provided. If the Presiding Member determines that questions and statements are out of order due to the use of an offensive or objectionable expression or are defamatory, they will not be recorded or responded to.*

### 4.1 Public Question Time

The Presiding Member declared Public Question Time open at 5:34 pm.

4.1.1 CAMILO BLANCO
---------------------

*I have a couple of questions, just on the half-yearly budget review. Number 4 in your Q2 Budget Review; the residential housing in Longtom Loop, has that been built yet?*

The CEO has provided the following response:

This housing is currently under construction; it hasn't been completed as yet.

*Then I assume those two new houses in Longtom Loop are the Town's houses? There's two brand new ones there that have got security fencing around them.*

The CEO has provided the following response:

We have a group of four properties.

*They could be units I suppose. Have they been built? You said they were under construction.*

The CEO has provided the following response:

We have four under construction.

*Can I just get an answer as to how we can build houses in Longtom Loop, when there is no budget applied to those houses? The original budget is zero, as you can see in the review. There is 1.8 million dollars that the administration is asking you to approve tonight, okay so this is a retrospective approval, and you've just heard the CEO say, "they're under construction."*

*So, I had a look yesterday and there's some new houses there, that I'm assuming are the Town's, I would say I'm pretty well right. So, can I get an answer as to how the CEO or the administration can approve a build, that's not approved in the budget and is well over the delegated authority? I'm happy to take that on notice.*

The Manager of Financial Services has provided the following response:

The Longtom project was funded as part of the 21/22 budget. The unspent amount was not carried forward into the 22/23 budget. The half yearly budget review provides the correct budget position.

*Another one that is on Rutherford Road, the budget is zero, and there's a \$632,000 number there that administration want council to approve tonight in the half yearly budget review. I'm happy to take that on notice, too. Has that house been built? And I've been down that road, there's no construction. There's only new houses down there, no construction currently. It's quite odd that we're at the end of the financial year and you're requesting \$632,000 for, to approve the build of a new house, when it could be put in the next budget. So I'm assuming that is done, as well.*

The Manager of Financial Services has provided the following response:

Council Resolution CM202122/250 dated June 30, 2022 relates to an amendment to the 2022/23 annual budget by \$625k for the acquisition of Lot 253 on deposit plan 61560 South Hedland.

---

**RECOMMENDATION 1**

**CM202122/250 COUNCIL DECISION**

**MOVED: CR COLES**

**SECONDED: DEPUTY MAYOR TURNER**

That Council amend the 22/23 Annual Budget to increase the Staff Housing Acquisition budget by \$625,000, funded by the Strategic Reserve.

***CARRIED BY ABSOLUTE MAJORITY (7/0)***

---

The motion indicates for the amendment to the budget to be reflected in the half yearly review accordingly

*That's two examples, and there's a lot more. One more question, Mayor. Are you aware that in the half yearly budget review that you're supposed to be approving tonight at the end of the financial year, that there's been \$23.5 million dollars removed from the approved budget, in Capital works – and about \$11 M or \$12 M added into it in other areas that hasn't been approved? And there is an \$ 11 plus million dollars reduction in the Capital works program on top of that? Are you aware of that Mr. Mayor?*

The Mayor responded:

I'll take that on notice, thank you.

The Manager of Financial Services has provided the following response:

The statement that there has been a reduction in the budget by \$25.3m is incorrect.

The half yearly review is reducing the capital works programme by a net \$10.6m. This has been achieved by shifting \$11.9m into 2023/24 and recognising the balances brought forward from 2021/22 unfinished works.

The projects that have been shifted to 2023/24 are based on December 2022 financial data and prediction of works to complete at the time of finalization of the half yearly review – approximately March/April 2023.

The Presiding Member declared Public Question Time closed at 5:39 pm.

#### 4.2 Public Statement Time

The Presiding Member declared Public Statement Time open at 5:39 pm.

##### 4.2.1 DANIEL HENDON

Hi my name is Daniel Hendon. I am the Vice President of the Hedland Hockey Association. I have been asked to provide an overview of our club and an insight into current issues and roadblocks for potential growth.

A new committee was formed 3 years ago and I'm proud to say the club has expanded year on year since. In 2021, we had 33 members, we saw a 30% increase in 2022 with 43 members, and thus far in 2023 we have seen a 51% increase to 65 members.

Hedland Hockey is committed to providing an affordable, safe space for our members to participate in a team sport. Our junior program focuses on developing the fundamental skills of hockey while also developing life skills including team work, communication, resilience and self-belief. As council members, I'm sure you already understand the benefit of youth involvement in team sports and preventing antisocial behaviour.

The committee and our members have worked hard on funding and fund raising over the last few years. Applying for grants and with members selling raffle tickets and sausage sizzle at the Port Hedland markets. We have used this money to purchase uniforms, signage, a BBQ, portable shades and equipment for the club. Using local businesses wherever possible. Among the equipment, we purchased goalie gear and hockey sticks that our members can use. This was to remove the financial barriers for our members. Essentially, they only need to have running shoes and pay our registration to participate.

Unfortunately however, due to our facilities this equipment is not expected to last as it should. As it is stored in a small shed that is exposed to high temperatures with no ventilation, open to insects and rodents. We are currently looking to purchase replacement portable goals for our juniors as our current material ones have perished in the storage shed. We expect the newly purchased goalie gear, bibs and bags will also deteriorate under the same conditions. We are led to believe the proposed clubhouse renovations will then provide us with an inside storage solution.

One of the major issues with the clubhouse at present is the fact that it's not sealed. This creates issues with rodents, frogs, insects, dust and dirt. Toilets are currently home to frogs and insects and are impossible to keep clean. Anything left in the clubrooms also requires to be stored in storage tubs.

The current playing surface area is actually 1/3 of a standard playing surface. As some of you may be aware, it is the old tennis court that was repurposed. Unfortunately however, this has created numerous hazards for our members. There are no run-offs, meaning the bitumen starts directly on the edge of the pitch with a raised lip separating it from the sand turf. So, any fall close to the edge could result in a serious injury. The hockey pitch markings are heavily painted on, creating the balls to jump up when hitting them. We know of Hedland residents that previously played that have not returned due to the surface.

Hockey has been a great sport for numerous of us, with some lucky enough to represent the state and travel Australia for national tournaments. That is why we are so passionate about giving back to the sport and providing our juniors with the same opportunities as we were fortunate enough to receive as kids. We do not want poor facilities to be a limiting factor to their hockey career.

We are currently looking at designs for a new playing surface that we could bring to Council and believe the best option would be a multi-sport centre bringing tennis and possibly other sports onto the same field to reduce land requirements, maintenance and therefore overall cost. There is a guide produced by the International Hockey Association in partnership with the English hockey, tennis and netball associations that we have with us today as a concept of this design. A more detailed proposal would need to be developed, but we wanted to highlight this concept early with the council and work together to bring this cost-effective solution to reality.

We are grateful that last year the playing surface lights were upgraded to LEDs after numerous lights were out of service. However, we believe more investment from the Town is required to provide a safe environment for our members to thrive and for the club to grow without significant barriers.

Thank you for your time tonight and I hope Hedland Hockey can count on your support moving forward.

#### 4.2.2 LEVI TREWICK

I'm the Vice President of the Tennis Club and I'm just bringing up similar issues that were mentioned before. I would like to start by saying how grateful we are for what you're doing for us. A shared facility will help the tennis and hockey club generate more interest and sporting opportunities in the community, especially for our youth. Port Hedland Tennis Club reactivated a couple of years ago as a direct result of Remote Tennis Services Australia. They came into the Pilbara region off their own dollar, building participation in both junior and adults, leading to a refreshed committee. The tennis club was dormant until this. By gaining this new facility, we will be able to strongly support Remote Tennis Services Australia to make sure tennis and hockey thrives in the Pilbara for years to come. Our goal is to be able to run consistent, weekly social nights, leagues, junior and adult competitions. Upgraded facilities will also lead to potential tournaments, bringing in tourism. In turn, we will put money back into the community.

Finally, we understand that the town is spending a large amount of funds on the facility, and it hasn't gone unnoticed. The tennis club is prepared to contribute \$10,000 to the fit out of the shared facility, including white goods, furniture and other items that the club can benefit from.

#### 4.2.3 CAMILO BLANCO

*I have a statement, but I also have some notes for you to follow.*

*\*Mr Blanco hands out documents to Council members\**

*I'll try and keep this very simple. I need to tell you what's happening with our budgets and the manipulation that I can see.*

*Attachment 1A – the 2021 Budget-Residential – the yield is \$11 million. That's the base number. And then we go to Attachment 2 – the 2022 budget. This is just residential component, okay? We have an increase of \$11.375m, that's a 3% increase, in line with what was advertised. The 22/23 budget; we have a \$13.275m yield. From 2021, that is a 20.5% increase. So within 18 months, okay? Or, from the previous year, it's a 17% increase. And then the 23/24 budget, what's proposed tonight; you've got the yield of \$13.5m. Which from the 2021 budget, is a 22.5% increase, or from last year, it's 2.5%. So effectively, over the past 3 years, you've increased rates, just in the residential component, by 22.5%. By the way, that 23/24 – that's Attachment 4, and you'll see the number in there.*

*Let's move on to the total yield across all rate categories. Attachment 1, the 2021 budget, adopted on the 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2020. Attachment 1, so you've got a total of \$54.8m. Now uh, that, Attachment 2A, the '22 budget, increased from the '21 budget from \$49m to \$54m, so that's an 11% increase. The the 22/23 budget, we move up to the total yield is \$62.3m. So that is a 15% increase from the year before; and a 26% increase from the original base of 20/21. And then tonight's increase, you are advertising 3.5%. The numbers in there state it's going to be total yield of \$65.2m. That is a 6% increase from last year.*

*So 6% from last year, we had a 15% the year before. And the year before, you advertised that it was going to be 2.5%. Remember these are your numbers, they're not mine, I didn't make them up. You've got the sheets right there.*

*So from the baseline of the budget 20/21, the baseline of \$49.4m till now, you've increased the rates in Hedland by 32%.*

*I keep coming in here and telling you that 2.5 or 3% is wrong. I've shown you the numbers. They're in your documents. Your longterm financial plan says 2.5 or 3 percent. Well, so far in the last three years, it's 32%.*

*So tonight's budget review; you've got \$23.5m that's been removed from the original budget. And if you have read your Q2 Budget Report and added the numbers up, because I did. You would say roughly \$23.5m has been removed from the original budget that you approved. And then, \$12m has been reapplied to things you haven't approved. And you've reduced the Capital Works program by \$11m.*

#### The Mayor responds:

5:51pm - You're time is up, thank you.

Cr Christensen responds:

*Through the Chair, can we request an extension so we can hear the rest of that, please?*

---

CM202223/050 COUNCIL DECISION

MOVED: CR CHRISTENSEN

SECONDED: CR COLES

Requesting an extension of time so the rest of the statement can be heard

**CARRIED BY SIMPLE MAJORITY (8/0)**

---

Camilo Blanco continues:

*It's pretty bad when I come up here after keeping an eye on what's going on in the finances, and then I continually get shut down. If you guys were actually looking at the numbers, and understood what is going on here, you would not be happy with the situation.*

*Now I asked the questions tonight about houses being built, but not in the budget. Now, I'm all for houses being built, just do it properly. You've got a delegated authority for a specific reason. You don't go over that delegation. You cannot spend money that's not in the budget, and that's what you're doing. And that's a no-no.*

*Now, let's have a look at the mistakes that were made last year; and I came in here in July and I talked to you about the numbers, how the housing numbers were manipulated and I've had no response from people I've specifically asked. I contacted the Deputy Mayor about it, I spoke to him at an event, and he told me "this is not the time, or the place to be talking about things like that". You're a Council member, every time is the time and the place. And also, if I ask questions or show you something, then you should investigate it. But you haven't done that.*

*So, have a look in your papers there and you'll see, in Attachment 2A, the budget 30<sup>th</sup> June 2022, the property number down at the bottom is 7,061. And then you have a look at Attachment 3A, this is total property numbers. Then you'll see an increase, a dramatic increase of 8,632. And then in tonight's budget, you will see in Attachment 4A, that the property numbers have actually gone down again, to 7,594. So, I don't understand, how you can advertise that there are more than 1,000 properties last year on our register, and then this financial year, more than 1,000 of them have been removed. So, the mistakes I spoke to you about last year, that being the administration inflated the total housing numbers, in order to dilute the correct rate increase applied to Hedland ratepayers; you can see that in those numbers tonight. And what you can also see in this graph, and this has never happened before in the history of Hedland, is that you have a 23/24, that box in Attachment 4, you see total housing numbers, 7,594 and then next to it, you see 1,144. That's there to confuse you. The total number of housing is 7,594. 1,144 is the amount of houses/vacant land that is within that original number, and it shouldn't be displayed like that. And if you have a look at the previous budgets, you'll see that those unimproved values go underneath the improved value so you know exactly what's going on, subtotal, subtotals and then total amounts, not like that. You can't calculate the rate increases like that; you don't know what the numbers are. So I know for a fact that no Council member tonight, has done the calculation to find out if the rate increase or the rate and the dollar increase is correct. I know that because you don't have the real numbers. I don't have them, so I don't know what it is.*

*What I want to know from you guys tonight is where have you identified the requirement to increase rates? Based on the finances, I've told you that \$23m has been stripped out, \$11m added in, there's an \$11m reduction in the Capital works program. So where have you*

*identified that you need to increase rates? Because that money that you had in this budget, has been forwarded into the next one. So it's not like you're short on it. You've taken no action to fix the clear, major mistakes that I've outlined. And we're in the middle of May and we've been presented with a December half yearly budget review. Does that ring some alarm bells to you guys? Does it also concern you that Manager of Finance and the Director of Finance have departed the Town abruptly? Does it concern you that the agenda item in April was effectively a one page document asking you to approve a half yearly budget review with tens of millions of dollars in changes? This is how you get conned. The budget is developed yearly. You should expect it to be implemented at a very high completion rate, and then start a new one. So year on year, you see noticable changes. The idea of a review is to identify any shortfalls or savings in costs, and adjust. So you remain compliant with legislation, that relates to the delegated authorities you have approved for the CEO. It's not about rewriting the approved budget.*

*You're not here to protect the interest of the administration. You've been elected by the people of Hedland, to protect their interest relating to the Town's development and more importantly, the Town's financial position, as well the effective and efficient spending of our money. It's not the administration's money, it's the Town of Port Hedland ratepayers' money. And you're here to manage it effectively. And you've failed dismally.*

*So my advice in closing, is not to approve any changes. My advice is to stand down the CEO immediately and appoint a new acting CEO with a mandate of finalising a forensic audit on the Town's finances. My advice is to significantly reduce the delegated authority of all executive to the minimum, until an audit and the findings are complete. You cannot change the approved budget and Capital works by \$23m without authorisation, and reduce the budget's Capital works program by \$11m, and then advertise a 3.5% rate increase, and knowingly apply a 6% increase in the middle of May. That's just this year. Last year, you advertised a 2.5% increase, applied a 15% increase in rates across all categories. Over the past 3 budgets, you've increased the rate costs to your people by 32%, and the Town looks like a bomb site. It's time to pull-up. Get your house in order, before charging us more. Stop increasing costs to the people that can't afford it. And do your job, as hard as that may be.*

The following 'Statement of Clarification' has been provided by the Administration:

The rates component of a Local Government budget and financials is complex. It is easy to confuse data on rates, rate in the dollar and expenditure because of the way it is set out in the budget documents, due in part to Local Government legislative requirements.

This year the Town presented a higher degree of transparency to ensure that ratepayers are provided with factual data to explain more clearly about how rates are calculated. However, it appears the additional data may have caused some confusion because it reads differently to how has been presented in previous budgets. The way the rates have been calculated has not differed from previous years and the proposed average rate increases for 2023/24 are as advertised at 3.5%.

The following summary provides an explanation on the position of the financials and demonstrate that the Town of Port Hedland is using ratepayer money responsibly and applying the minimal amount of increase to the rate in the dollar.

## Summary of process to determine rates

- The budget is an estimate of predicted costs for future years based on:
  - Delivery of services requested by ratepayers and Council
  - Provision of infrastructure and development requested by ratepayers and Council
- The long-term financial plan provides a 15-year projection to align strategic outcomes with the respective financial costs. The current plan outlines the 2022 to 2037 objectives. The plan is reviewed and updated on a regular basis to ensure the objectives are relevant and accurate.

The long-term financial plan was used to guide the budgeted costs for the 23/24 financial year in order to determine the amount of rates revenue needing to be raised.
- Once the estimated costs for the financial year are proposed, the Town then has a target number of what rates revenue need to be collected to ensure the Town can deliver the services needed by Ratepayers.
- A “rates modelling” process is undertaken, and this involves a complex process of estimating for the upcoming year using;
  - Categories of rates and the number of properties in each category
  - The estimated gross rental value & Unimproved Values of lands
  - Percentage increase or decrease for each category
  - Forecasting the estimated changes in valuations
- The objective of the rates modelling is to determine the rate in each category to ensure that the overall revenue collected will cover estimated costs, with the minimum impact to ratepayers and residents.
- The budget is always an estimate of what the actual income from rates will be because new properties will become rateable through the year and other factors that alter the rates that are payable for some properties.
- Interim rates are issued when there is a change to the value of the land which occurs after the rates have been set for the year.
- The actual rates revenue for the Town of Port Hedland will fluctuate from the time the budgeted rates revenue is announced because of interim rates, the high level of activity in the town with ongoing mining development, TWA camps and new leasing that is continually rolling out through the year which means the Town of Port Hedland sometimes has a high level of interim rates.

### Financial year 2023/24

The budgeted rate revenue of \$65.2m for 23/24 is a 3.5% increase from the prior year actual revenue of \$62.2 m for 22/23 and is inclusive of additional interim rates.

The budgeted rate revenue is the best estimate available to the Town of what it’s rate income will be at the time the rates modelling is undertaken for the upcoming financial year.

The 3.5% increase in rate revenue is a conservative approach based on the LGCI forecast and is consistent with the Long-Term Financial Year Plan.

A table on Rates has been provided below:

	2020/2021 1/7/20 - 30/6/21	2021/2022 1/7/21 - 30/6/22	2022/2023 1/7/22 - 30/6/23	2023/2024 (proposed) 1/7/23 - 30/6/24
Starting Budget				
Agreed Rate Increase	0.00%	\$ 49,390,632.97	\$ 57,760,530.32	\$ 62,269,577.91
		\$ 987,812.66	\$ 1,444,013.26	\$ 2,179,435.23
<b>Subtotal at Rate Modelling</b>		<b>\$ 50,378,445.63</b>	<b>\$ 59,204,543.58</b>	<b>\$ 64,449,013.14</b>
Interims Raised	\$ 4,613,814.72	\$ 7,382,084.69	\$ 3,065,034.33	\$ 729,337.12
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 49,390,632.97</b>	<b>\$ 57,760,530.32</b>	<b>\$ 62,269,577.91</b>	<b>\$ 65,178,350.35</b>
	9.34%	14.95%	5.31%	1.12%
	9.34%	16.95%	7.81%	4.62%

	2020/2021	2021/2022	2022/2023	2023/2024 (proposed)
GRV - Residential	0.096289	0.098215	0.07062	0.067
GRV - Commercial	0.096287	0.098215	0.08979	0.08957
GRV - Accommodation	0.192093	0.195935	0.1305	0.133
UV - Pastoral	0.197513	0.201463	0.20215	0.12
UV - Mining	0.110785	0.113001	0.11298	0.21469
UV - Other	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.1075
<b>Totals</b>	<b>7578</b>	<b>7685</b>	<b>7561</b>	<b>7592</b>

	2020/2021	2021/2022	2022/2023	2023/2024 (proposed)
GRV - Residential	6357	6432	6270	6279
GRV - Commercial	710	728	736	745
GRV - Accommodation	18	18	18	19
UV - Pastoral	10	10	10	10
UV - Mining	483	497	527	528
UV - Other	N/A	N/A	N/A	11
<b>Totals</b>	<b>7578</b>	<b>7685</b>	<b>7561</b>	<b>7592</b>

	2020/2021	2021/2022	2022/2023	2023/2024 (proposed)
GRV - Residential	0.096289	0.098215	0.07062	0.067
GRV - Commercial	0.096287	0.098215	0.08979	0.08957
GRV - Accommodation	0.192093	0.195935	0.1305	0.133
UV - Pastoral	0.197513	0.201463	0.20215	0.12
UV - Mining	0.110785	0.113001	0.11298	0.21469
UV - Other	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.1075
<b>Totals</b>	<b>7578</b>	<b>7685</b>	<b>7561</b>	<b>7592</b>

	2020/2021	2021/2022	2022/2023	2023/2024 (proposed)
GRV - Residential	6357	6432	6270	6279
GRV - Commercial	710	728	736	745
GRV - Accommodation	18	18	18	19
UV - Pastoral	10	10	10	10
UV - Mining	483	497	527	528
UV - Other	N/A	N/A	N/A	11
<b>Totals</b>	<b>7578</b>	<b>7685</b>	<b>7561</b>	<b>7592</b>

	2020/2021	2021/2022	2022/2023	2023/2024 (proposed)
GRV - Residential	0.096289	0.098215	0.07062	0.067
GRV - Commercial	0.096287	0.098215	0.08979	0.08957
GRV - Accommodation	0.192093	0.195935	0.1305	0.133
UV - Pastoral	0.197513	0.201463	0.20215	0.12
UV - Mining	0.110785	0.113001	0.11298	0.21469
UV - Other	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.1075
<b>Totals</b>	<b>7578</b>	<b>7685</b>	<b>7561</b>	<b>7592</b>

	2020/2021	2021/2022	2022/2023	2023/2024 (proposed)
GRV - Residential	6357	6432	6270	6279
GRV - Commercial	710	728	736	745
GRV - Accommodation	18	18	18	19
UV - Pastoral	10	10	10	10
UV - Mining	483	497	527	528
UV - Other	N/A	N/A	N/A	11
<b>Totals</b>	<b>7578</b>	<b>7685</b>	<b>7561</b>	<b>7592</b>

	2020/2021	2021/2022	2022/2023	2023/2024 (proposed)
GRV - Residential	0.096289	0.098215	0.07062	0.067
GRV - Commercial	0.096287	0.098215	0.08979	0.08957
GRV - Accommodation	0.192093	0.195935	0.1305	0.133
UV - Pastoral	0.197513	0.201463	0.20215	0.12
UV - Mining	0.110785	0.113001	0.11298	0.21469
UV - Other	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.1075
<b>Totals</b>	<b>7578</b>	<b>7685</b>	<b>7561</b>	<b>7592</b>

**4.2.4 ROGER HIGGINS**

*I came here tonight because I wanted to hear what was really going on. I have no prepared statement. As someone who's been to a few Council meetings over a period of time, what disturbs me greatly is the reaction that I'm seeing from the people that are sitting behind those desks over there, to what our former Mayor Milo was saying. There were 3 or 4 of you who constantly had your head down; who were not looking at him and clearly not absorbing, or running through your mind, you were obviously critical of what he was saying.*

*Now as I'm one of probably many, many people here who pay rates on more than one property. I've been here now for 11 years. I run a dental practice. I am the only dentist that chooses to live in this town. Everyone else is FIFO. And this is the way this place is going.*

*If you want a town to grow, you need to attract professional people who come and live here. Not people who do FIFO. Because what I do, involves having to see people in emergency situations after hours, and that's what I do. I came here because I've been in practice a long time and I have a bunch of experience behind it. When I see how some of you people have reacted tonight about what Milo said – I am appalled. I'm appalled because you people have been elected to do a job and it's pretty clear that some of you don't take it seriously.*

*You take the extra income and the extra benefits that go with the job, as being your right. You have a right to right to look after the interest of the ratepayers who are here. And when I hear Milo coming out and saying those things he has; and I've seen the numbers and I've had a look at the numbers and I agree with everything he said. And if you people tonight choose to just pass this through, what's about to be presented to you, without any discussion and without taking it away and getting your heads together and really understanding what's going on, then this place is doomed. And I support everything that he said, and I want you people to take it on seriously.*

The Presiding Member declared Public Statement Time closed at 6:05 pm.

**4.3 Petitions/Deputations/Presentations/Submissions****4.3.1 OWEN HIGHTOWER, DIRECTOR RFF AUSTRALIA IN RELATION TO ITEM 8.2.1 - TEMPORARY APPROVAL OF WORKFORCE ACCOMMODATION (FORMER PORT HEDLAND DETENTION CENTRE) AT LOT 2 (NO.15) DEMPSTER STREET**

Owen Hightower, Director of RFF Australia spoke in relation to Agenda Item 8.2.1, in favour of the Officer's Recommendation.

David Campbell spoke in relation to Agenda Item 8.2.1, in favour of the Officer's Recommendation.

---

**Item 5 Questions from Members without Notice**

---

**5.1.1 CR RENAE COLES**

Cr Coles asked the following question:

*Line 102, which is a zero budgeted item, Port Hedland Tennis Club and Hockey Club upgrades. Up to today, 15 May 2023, has any money been spent on that particular item? That's for purchasing of materials and labour?*

The CEO provided the following response:

There is no cost to date on this project.

Cr Coles asked the following question:

*Line 59 – McGregor Street Oval lights component, we're not talking about the grass and the leveling of the field, has any extra labour or purchasing of materials taken place? And money that's been spent that's been zero budgeted for that particular item? So any purchase of materials or anything outside of the zero budgeted item line 59?*

The Manager Infrastructure Projects & Assets provided the following response:

No money has been spent in regards to purchasing of any equipment or engagement of any contractors for any work related to the lights.

Cr Coles asked the following question:

*And no purchase of materials?*

The Manager Infrastructure Projects & Assets responded with:

No purchase of materials

Cr Coles asked the following question:

*The next line is 103, which is the Rangers Office, I'm pretty sure it went out for tender – has any money been spent on that one yet?*

The Manager Infrastructure Projects & Assets provided the following response:

No money has been spent on that, to date.

Cr Coles asked the following question:

*Just to follow on from Camilo Blanco, who's come forward tonight – do we have any reasonings why those property numbers have fluctuated as much as what they have done over the years, do we have any explanation?*

The CEO provided an answer:

The short answer is, there was an error in the previous year; we did not increase and then, decrease by 1000 properties, and we held a separate briefing for Elected Members on that matter and advised accordingly, that was some time ago.

Cr Coles asked the following question:

*Wedgefield Group 1 construction – which was originally \$2.2m out of the Strategic Reserve; it's now only \$1.6m budgeted item. Is there any reason for the change in that one?*

The Mayor replied:

Taken on notice, thank you.

The Director of Infrastructure Services provided the following response:

The 3.2M contract let in June 2022. The funding is comprised of one third Town of Port Hedland, two thirds Regional Roads Group grant program. At the midyear budget review 1.6M was outstanding and the project is now complete.

Cr Ash Christensen made a statement:

*Just FYI, there was an answer provided to us beforehand, in the Director Infrastructure's email that was sent to us.*

The CEO responded:

I'm happy to recirculate that.

Cr Coles asked the following question:

*The Lotteries House – originally had \$100,000, now at \$400,000. Is there a reason for the extra \$300,000 from the original budget?*

The Mayor replied:

Taken on notice, thank you.

The Director Infrastructure Services has provided the following response:

The Lotteries House project was funded as part of the 21/22 budget. The unspent amount was not carried forward into the 22/23 budget. The half-yearly budget review provides the correct budget position.

Cr Coles asked the following question:

*Finnucane Island, stage 2 - \$2.3 million dollars, \$2.7 out of it, only \$39,000 was actually applied to it. Do we know where the other bit has gone back to?*

The Manager Infrastructure Projects & Assets responded with:

This project was put out to tender. We had a QS completed on the project prior to tender. Upon tender close, we had four respondents. All respondents exceeded the budget by percentages of between 100-120%. The recommendation was that Council place this project on hold, until the marine construction market cools off.

The Manager Infrastructure Projects & Assets has provided a further response:

The Finnucane Island Boat Ramp Stage 2 project was being funded through a combination of Strategic Reserve (\$1.295m) and Federal LRCI Grant Funding (\$1.021m). The pre-tender estimate valued the sum of the works at \$2.2m. The tendered responses ranged from \$4.5m to \$5m. Review of the tender responses by the evaluation panel and design consultant concluded that the responses delivered extremely poor value for money and the project should be placed on hold as a “shovel ready” project until such time as the significant activity in the marine construction market subsides and competitiveness returns.

The funding will return to the Strategic Reserve and the Federal government accepted the Town application to redirect the grant funding to SHISH, being a priority project.

#### 5.1.2 CR JAN GILLINGHAM

Cr Jan Gillingham asked the following question:

*Through the Chair, we have just learned that the Soccer Club has had to have their pitch redone again – can that be confirmed?*

The Mayor responded:

We will take that on notice, thank you.

The Director of Infrastructure Services has provided the following response:

The Officers have reported previously to Councillors that the pitch levelling and the turf replacement has experienced some challenges due to cooler weather and the area where the new turf and old turf abutt. The Town has had equipment on site to mow the grass to encourage root growth of the new turf.

#### 5.1.3 CR ASH CHRISTENSEN

Cr Ash Christensen asked the following question:

*Just one from me, carrying on from the Wedgefield route turnoff, stages. As part of the series of questions I sent out, thanks to the Administration for supplying those answers; one question that I did have that hasn't been answered was the confirmation of the funds received from the grants associated with that. I was under the assumption that those grants were received, so I didn't get any confirmation as to where they were spent – as supposedly they weren't spent on that project. It was a 2/3, 1/3 grant I think?*

The Mayor replied:

Taken on notice, thank you.

The Director of Infrastructure Services has provided the following response:

The Yanana/Moorambine project tender was let by the Council at the June 2022 meeting for a sum of \$3.2 million. The Town let the contract on the basis that 2 years (21/22 and 22/23) of Regional Road Group funding and Town contribution would be used for the project. The 21/22 Regional Road Group funding was carried forward by the Regional Road Group to this financial year. The Town's 21/22 contribution was also carried forward.

The Town has completed the project (practical completion was given to the contractor on 12/05/2023) and is in the process of acquitting the grant funding. The Town has not received the funding from the Regional Road Group but is expecting to receive the money as soon as the acquittal is processed – prior to the end of the financial year.

---

**Item 6     Announcements by Presiding Member without Discussion**

---

Nil

---

**Item 7     Declarations of All Members to Have Given Due Consideration to All Matters Contained in the Business Paper before the Meeting**

---

The following Elected Members declared that they had given due consideration to all matters contained in the agenda:

Mayor Peter Carter  
Deputy Mayor Tim Turner  
Cr Elmar Zielke  
Cr Ash Christensen  
Cr David Eckhart  
Cr Jason Keller

Cr Gillingham and Cr Coles did not declare.

---

**Item 8 Reports of Officers**

---

**8.1 Corporate Services****8.1.1 ADOPTION OF 2022-23 INTERIM BUDGET REVIEW**

**Author:** Manager Financial Services  
**Authorising Officer:** Director Corporate Services  
**Disclosure of Interest:** The Author and Authorising Officer declare that they do not have any conflicts of interest in relation to this item.

*Cr Christensen Moved an Amendment to the Officers Recommendation:*

**AMENDMENT**

---

**CM202223/051 COUNCIL DECISION****MOVED: CR CHRISTENSEN****SECONDED: CR GILLINGHAM**

That Council adopts the revised 2022/23 Half Yearly Budget based on results for the period ended 31 December 2022, as per attachment 1, but for the Capital works in Line Item 102 and Line Item 59 (removal of lights only) and authorises the CEO to amend the final Q2 Budget accordingly.

In Favour: Cr Coles, Cr Christensen, Cr Eckhart, Cr Keller  
Against: Mayor Carter, Cr Turner, Cr Zielke, Cr Gillingham  
Mayor Carter used his 'Casting Vote' Against the Amendment

**MOTION LOST FOR WANT OF AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY (4/5)**

*Cr Ash Christensen foreshadowed an Alternate Recommendation, which would move a new motion with the wording of the previous Amendment, should the Officer's Recommendation not receive support.*

---

**OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION**

---

**CM202223/052 COUNCIL DECISION****MOVED: DEPUTY MAYOR TURNER****SECONDED: CR ZIELKE**

That Council adopts the revised 2022/23 Half Yearly Budget based on results for the period ended 31 December 2022 with a projected municipal surplus of \$5.015 million as of June 30, 2023, as per attachment 1.

In Favour: Mayor Carter, Cr Turner, Cr Zielke, Cr Keller  
Against: Cr Coles, Cr Christensen, Cr Eckhart, Cr Gillingham  
Mayor Carter used his 'Casting Vote' In Favour of the Officers Recommendation

---

*MOTION LOST FOR WANT OF AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY (5/4)*

---

## FORESHADOWED MOTION AND COUNCIL DECISION

## CM202223/052 COUNCIL DECISION

MOVED: CR CHRISTENSEN

SECONDED: CR ECKHART

That Council adopts the revised 2022/23 Half Yearly Budget based on results for the period ended 31 December 2022, as per attachment 1, but for the Capital works in Line Item 102 and Line Item 59 (removal of lights only) and authorises the CEO to amend the final Q2 Budget accordingly.

In Favour: Cr Christensen, Cr Eckhart, Cr Keller, Cr Zielke, Cr Turner

Against: Mayor Carter, Cr Gillingham, Cr Coles

*CARRIED BY ABSOLUTE MAJORITY (5/3)*

---

## PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is for Council to adopt the 2022/23 Half Yearly Budget based on results for the period ending December 31, 2022. The review is an opportunity to evaluate the current budget and allocate funding for emerging community and social priorities, allowing the organisation to respond and provide the best result for the Town of Port Hedland.

## DETAIL

Section 33A(1) of the *Local Government Regulations 1996 (Financial Management)*, requires a budget review to be conducted between January 1<sup>st</sup> and March 31<sup>st</sup> in each financial year. The review aims to adjust the final budget position of the current financial year based on Council's financial performance during the first 6 months and the forecasted six-month performance from commencing on January 1<sup>st</sup> to June 30<sup>th</sup>.

The review has been prepared in accordance with the *Local Government Act 1995, Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996* and Australian Accounting Standards.

## Projected Municipal Results

The Half Yearly Budget review has projected a revised municipal position of \$5.015 million which is a positive adjustment from previous adopted budget by 2.712 million. This is attributed to the adjustments explained below:

<b>Municipal Movement Explanations (\$ '000)</b>	
<b>Operational Revenue</b>	
Rates – recognition of interim rates	\$ 500
Operating Grants – recognize non-government grant received	\$ 42
Fees and charges – adjustment to budget to realize the reduction of waste disposal revenue	(\$ 703)
Interest earnings – adjustment to budget to recognize the earnings from current investments	\$ 90
Other Revenue – realise proceeds from sale of land	\$ 682
<b>Net Cash changes to revenue</b>	<b>\$ 611</b>
<b>Operational Expenditure</b>	
Employee costs – decrease budget to reflect the current vacancies	\$ 458
Materials and contracts – reduced by \$1.37m due to changes to the operations and delivery of work. This reduction also reflects the addition of \$420k for Spinifex Spree carnival, Town's Aug 23 Major Event, and for SH 50th Birthday Celebration Street Art Festival	\$ 1,377
Utility charges – minor adjustment to reflect actual usage	(\$ 83)
Interest expenses – adjustment made to realise the borrowings made throughout the year	\$ 563
Other expenditure	\$ 82
<b>Net cash changes to operating expenses</b>	<b>\$ 2,397</b>
<b>Investing Activities</b>	
Non-operating grant, subsidies and contributions	(\$ 1,743)
Capital Works program reduced based on work timeline adjustment	\$ 11,284
Proceed from disposal of assets	(\$1,300)
<b>Net cash changes to investing activities</b>	<b>\$8,241</b>
<b>Financing Activities</b>	
Repayment of borrowings in line with decrease in Borrowings	\$554
New borrowings in line with decreased capital expenditure on staff housing and Seawalls	(\$4,969)
Transfer to cash backed reserves (restricted assets)	(\$1,917)
Transfer from cash back reserves (restricted assets)	(\$2,205)
<b>Net cash changes to financing activities</b>	<b>(\$8,537)</b>
<b>Net Cash Movement to Municipal Position</b>	<b>\$2,712</b>

### Projected Operating Results

While the Municipal positions shows Council's cash position for the year the projected operating result is a loss of \$31.6 million, which is a reduction on the previous adopted budget loss by \$1.68 million.

The cash operating revenue and expense line items have been explained in the Municipal position changes there are two main non cash movements that impact the operating result:

<b>Operational Revenue</b>	
Profit on asset disposal – adjust budget in response to market changes within the motor vehicle industry.	(\$ 300)
<b>Operational Expenditure</b>	
Depreciation on non-current assets – increase budget to recognize the projects that have been capitalized year to date	(\$ 1,025)

Council receives income and elects to spend that money on day-to-day activities to provide services and operate the organisation. This is reflected in the Income and Expense Statement. The Operating Result disclosed in the Income Statement includes depreciation and other non-cash expenses, so a deficit Operating Result can still produce an operating cash surplus. It is this cash surplus that is available to fund the renewal of existing assets.

### Capital Projects Program

A detailed listing of all capital works and new initiatives is listed in Note 16 of the 2022-23 Budget Review Paper.

### LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE

In accordance with Policy 4/009 'Significant Decision Making', this matter is considered to be of medium significance, because budget reviews are vital to ensure the Town is forecasting expected expenditure and revenue in line with current conditions and commitments. Budget reviews are used as a tool for decision making for current and future activities and they are a key component of prudential financial management practices to mitigate financial risk exposure to Council.

### CONSULTATION

#### *Internal*

- The 2022/23 current budget has been reviewed by all Managers and the Executive Leadership Team. They have collectively considered the impact of the budget amendments presented, to benefit the community as a whole and keep within the budget guidelines of a balanced budget.
- The Council has been consulted on amendments prior to the Ordinary Council Meeting.

#### *External Agencies*

1. Rates Consultant

#### *Community*

2. Nil

### LEGISLATION AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

A Local Government is required to carry out a budget review between the 1 January and 31 March of each financial year in accordance with regulation 33A (1) of the *Local Government*

*(Financial Management) Regulations 1996.* The review must consider the financial performance between 1 July to 31 December, the financial position at the date of the review, and review the outcomes for the end of financial year forecast as stipulated in regulation 33A(2A).

Any budget review must be submitted to Council within 30 days of completion, with Council to determine whether or not to adopt the review by absolute majority as required by regulation 33A (2) and (3). The budget review was presented to Council at the April 2023 Ordinary Council Meeting and was deferred to a Special Council meeting on 15 May 2023.

## FINANCIAL AND RESOURCES IMPLICATIONS

The 2022/2023 Half Yearly Budget Review for the period ended 31 December 2022 has identified increased net operating revenue of \$311k and decreased operating expenditure of \$1.37m, resulting in a net decrease of \$1.682m to the operating surplus.

The Municipal cash position has improved by \$2.712m.

Capital programmes have decreased by \$11.284m to a total estimated \$71.008m.

## STRATEGIC AND SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

The following sections of the Town's Strategic Community Plan 2022-2032 are applicable in the consideration of this item:

- 4.b.1 Sound long-term financial planning is implemented
- 4.b.2 Transparent and regular financial reporting and communication to the community is undertaken
- 4.b.3 Transparent and regular governance reporting and communication to the community is undertaken

### *Environmental*

Nil

### *Economic*

There are significant benefits to the local economy including investment in local infrastructure and the utilisation of local trades and businesses where possible, practical, and available

### *Social*

Significant social impacts will be achieved including investment into community infrastructure and programs and the promotion of the Town as a great place to live and work.

## RISK MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS

There is a reputational risk associated with this item if the Council do not adopt the 2022/2023 half yearly budget review for the period ended 31 December 2022. The risk rating is considered to be medium (6), which is determined by a likelihood of unlikely (2) and a consequence of moderate (3).

This risk will be eliminated by endorsing the officer's recommendation.

There is a risk rating of medium (9) assigned to the risk that the Council do not adopt the 2022/2023 half yearly budget review for the period ended 31 December 2022, resulting in the delay or inability to perform specific capital works which are essential but do not currently have an approved budget item line. This risk is possible (3) with a moderate (3) impact in relation to compliance with significant regulatory requirements imposed.

This risk will be eliminated by endorsing the 2022/2023 half yearly budget review for adoption by Council.

## OPTIONS

*Option 1 – Adopt officer's recommendation*

*Option 2 – Amend officer's recommendation*

*Option 3 – Do not adopt officer's recommendation*

## CONCLUSION

This report presents a summary of the 2022/23 half yearly budget review for the period ended 31 December 2022.

Several variations are proposed as part of this review to meet community and organisational requirements. The outcome of the review presents a positive adjustment to the municipal surplus by \$2.712m, resulting in a revised cash position of \$5.015m as of 30 June 2023.

## ATTACHMENTS

1. 2022/23 Half Yearly Budget Review - Attachment 1 (under separate cover)

Mayor called for meeting to recess at 6:57pm

Mayor declared the meeting opened at 7:10pm

## 8.1.2 2023/24 RATING STRATEGY

Author: Manager Financial Services  
 Authorising Officer: Director Corporate Services  
 Disclosure of Interest: The Author and Authorising Officer declare that they do not have any conflicts of interest in relation to this item.

## CM202223/C54 COUNCIL DECISION

MOVED: CR ZIELKE

SECONDED: DEPUTY MAYOR TURNER

That Council:

1. Pursuant to section 6.36(3A) of the Local Government Act 1995, endorses the Statement of Objects and Reasons for the 2023/24 rating year as presented in Attachment 1 for public consultation.
2. Pursuant to section 6.36(1) of the Local Government Act 1995, gives local public notice of its intention to impose differential general rates and minimum payments in accordance with the attached Statement of Rating Objects and Reasons, which will be available for public inspection during the notice period, wherein the proposed differential rate categories, rate in the dollar and minimum payments are detailed as follows:

Rate Differential	Rate in the dollar (expressed as cents in the dollar)	Minimum Payment (for each Rate Differential)
GRV: Residential	0.067000	\$ 1,300
GRV: Commercial/ Industrial	0.089570	\$ 1,900
GRV: Accommodation	0.133000	\$ 1,900
UV: Pastoral	0.120000	\$ 1,900
UV: Mining	0.214690	\$ 200
UV: Other	0.107500	\$ 1,900

*CARRIED BY SIMPLE MAJORITY (6/2)*

In Favour: Mayor Carter, Cr Turner, Cr Zielke, Cr Christensen, Cr Eckhart, Cr Keller  
Against: Cr Coles, Cr Gillingham

## PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is for Council to recommend that Council endorse the Statement of Rating Objects and Reasons and advertise the proposed 2023/24 Differential General Rates and Minimum Payment for public comment, as required by section 6.36 of the Local Government Act 1995.

## DETAIL

Rate revenue is the primary source of discretionary revenue for the Town of Port Hedland, accounting for approximately 74% of the operating revenue in the financial year 2023/24. The Local Government Act 1995 (the Act) enables local governments to impose differential general rates and minimum rates on ratable land. The purpose of levying of rates is to meet Council's budget requirements in each financial year in order to deliver services and community infrastructure, as outlined in the Long Term Financial plan 2022/37, Asset Management Plan and Corporate Business Plan 2018-22. Asset management continues to pose a significant challenge for all local governments in Western Australia and all rate models must support asset renewal and replacement requirements in line with defined service levels.

Under section 6.36 of the Act, local governments are required to give a minimum period of 21 days' notice of the proposed differential general rates and minimum rates to consider any submissions received.

Once public submissions have been considered and rates are set, there may be a requirement to seek Ministerial approval of Differential Rates.

Under the Local Government Act, the Minister for Local Government is required to approve the following in relation to rates:

- The imposition of a differential general rate which is more than twice the lowest differential general rate imposed by a local government;
- A minimum rate on vacant land that does not comply with legislative provisions;
- Changes in the method of valuation of land; and
- In some instances, where land is exempt from rates.

The proposed 2023/24 differential for Gross Rental Value (GRV), Unimproved (UV) and minimum rate meet all legislative criteria and ***does not require ministerial approval.***

The strategy proposed for 2023/24 proposes six (6) differential rate categories, with the reintroduction of 'UV other' category to appropriately define the occupation and use of the land held under UV other, definition. The Town rate staff will continue focus on reviewing the number and type of rating differential categories to ensure land use or zoning continues to be accurately rated accordingly.

The main objectives of the rates model presented here for 2032/24 are to:

- Raise sufficient yield to maintain current and additional services, future infrastructure growth & renewal to meet community expectations, as outlined in the adopted Long Term Financial Plan 2022/2037, and Strategic Community Plan 2022-32.
- Maintain the distribution of rates share considering objective, fair and equitable standards.

- Critical analysis of the yield generated by each differential category as a percentage of the total yield, comparing to the contribution by each category, the valuation % of each category.
- Provide a level of certainty and consistency for ratepayers with a fair & stable view to long term rates modelling, while providing financial sustainability for the Town of Port Hedland; and
- That properties are rated according to predominant land use with consideration given to the Town Planning Scheme zones and applied when applicable, with each category having a separate calculated rate in the dollar and established minimum rates to achieve greater equity across all sectors and ensuring a minimum base level contribution.

2022/23 Port Hedland properties seen the tri-annual revaluation process undertaken by Landgate, and there were significant increases in values across all categories. These valuations came into effect from 1 July 2022 and will remain in place until 2024/25, unless objections or reviews from Landgate is undertaken. Consideration to these increases or decreases will continue to be taken into account for the 2023/24, through interims.

The evidence of growth within categories for *GRV – Commercial /Industry* is Development WA land, predominately within the Wedgefield industrial area, being sold back to the private sector therefore becoming ratable in the 23/24 rating period, also areas of Port Hedland Airport redevelopment.

Increases for UV mining is predominately mining tenements and Port Land leasing. GRV Accommodation increase is growth of transient worker accommodation camp. The following two tables outline the proposed differential general rates and the adjustment table of minimum rate payment for the Town of Port Hedland to be advertised for the 2023/234 financial year, effective from 1 July 2023.

Differential Category	YIELD (Prior to Minimum adjustment)	Minimum Rate (Adjustment)	TOTAL YIELD (Includes Minimum adjustment)	Rate in dollar (expressed as cents \$)	2023/24 Total YIELD % Share
GRV Residential	13,102,664.94	400,172.08	13,501,803	0.06700	20.71
GRV Commercial/Industrial	5,849,193.69	173,858.73	6,094,932	0.08957	9.24
GRV Accommodation	2,399,072.62	0	2,326,481	0.13000	3.68
UV Pastoral	168,028.68	0	154,056	0.12000	0.25
UV Mining	42,989,108.37	6,845.64	43,008,765	0.21469	65.96
UV Other	83,202.78	6,202.82	90,596	0.10750	0.13
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>64,591,271.08</b>	<b>587,079.27</b>	<b>\$65,178,350</b>		<b>100</b>

## PROPERTIES

Differential Category	2022/2023		2023/2024		2023/2024		
	Number of Properties	No. of Properties / Minimum Rate (Adjustment)	Number of Properties	No. of Properties / Minimum Rate (Adjustment)	No. Properties - Increase / Decrease	Minimum Rates - Increase / Decrease	Total Minimum Adjustment = \$ Value
GRV Residential	6270	672	6280	878	10	206	401,172.08
GRV Commercial/ Industrial	734	171	745	172	11	1	173,858.73
GRV Accommodation	18	1	19	0	1	-1	0
UV Pastoral	10		10	0	0	0	0
UV Mining	516	233	529	89	13	-144	6,845.64
UV Other	11	3	11	5	0	2	6,202.82
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>7559</b>	<b>1080</b>	<b>7594</b>	<b>1144</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>587,079.27</b>

The proposed rate model is expected to yield \$65,178,350 (prior to concession applications). Rate revenue for 2023/24, will contribute to the net funding requirements to assist in the sustainability of Town's Long Term Financial Plan 2022-2037 to strengthen the Town's services, activities, financing costs, asset & road renewal, and investment priorities such as housing and childcare.

Supporting the Statement of Rating Objects and Reasons In accordance with section 6.36(3A) of the Act, the document detailing the objects and reasons for each of the proposed rates and minimum payments is to be made available to all ratepayers.

The proposed 2023/24 Statement of Rating Objects and Reasons is provided in Attachment 1. This will be published on the Town of Port Hedland's website from 28 April 2023.

The overall rate revenue projected \$65,178 million includes 2022/23 interim rates (to 14/4/23) and an increase of 3.5 in line with the approved LTFP, as well as achieving the legislative 2:1 Ratio rate in the dollar, between the basis of rate differentials – GRV & UV.

## LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE

In accordance with Policy 4/009 'Significant Decision Making', this matter is considered to be of high significance, because as it meets the criteria listed in the policy which states "a decision that will substantially affect a wide range of people who reside in Port Hedland".

## CONSULTATION

*Internal*

Three workshops have been conducted, *one* with the Executive Leadership Team and *two* with Council to consider budget direction, strategies and rating models, and the resultant impact on ratepayers.

*External Agencies*

Relevant information received and reviewed for valuation (GRV & UV) purpose has been obtained from:

- Landgate
- Port Hedland Port Authority

- Department of Mines & Energy WA
- Department of Local Government, Sports and Cultural Industries

### Community

- Submissions will be sought from ratepayers through public consultation on the proposed 2023/24 rates model via state-wide and local public notices.
- Individual letters of consultation will be sent out to ratepayers whose differential category has less than 30 rateable properties

### LEGISLATION AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

- Section 6.33 of the Local Government Act 1995 – Differential General Rates
- Section 6.35 of the Local Government Act 1995 – Minimum Rate Payment
- Section 6.36 of the Local Government Act 1995 – Giving Notice

### FINANCIAL AND RESOURCES IMPLICATIONS

The proposed rate model as at 27<sup>th</sup> April 2023 is expected to yield \$65,178,350.35 in rate revenue for 2023/24.

The 2023/24 rates model presented continues towards an equitable share of rates to reflect the critical role Port Hedland has in the global economy in regards export & imports for Australia annually. With continued growth increases in valuations, across the differential category groups reflecting in interims raised during 2022/23, the rate in dollar movement has varied slightly amongst each rating category in order to maintain the appropriate proportion of rates yield raised from each category.

2022/2023 - Actual					2023/2024 - Proposed			
Differential Category	Valuation	Rate in dollar	Yield	% Share	Valuation	Rate in dollar	Yield	% Share
GRV Residential	195,022,825.00	0.07062	14,148,256	22.72	195,562,162.00	0.06700	13,502,837.02	20.74
GRV Commercial/Industrial	61,784,935.00	0.08979	5,725,950	9.20	65,303,043.00	0.089570	6,023,052.42	9.36
GRV Accommodation	15,867,140.00	0.1305	2,072,562	3.33	18,038,140.00	0.13300	2,399,072.62	3.57
UV Pastoral	1,400,239.00	0.11298	158,199	0.25	1,400,239.00	0.120000	168,028.68	0.24
UV Mining	198,647,363.00	0.20215	40,164,612	64.50	200,238,056	0.214690	42,995,954.01	65.95
UV Other					774,700.00	0.107500	89,409.60	0.14
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>\$472,722,502</b>		<b>\$62,269,578</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>\$481,316,340.00</b>		<b>\$65,178,350.35</b>	<b>100</b>

The 2023/24 budget will be developed using the following principles discussed and agreed with the Council at budget workshops:

- To focus on long-term financial sustainability of the Town of Port Hedland;
- Continue to ensure a fair and equitable balance of the rate share across all ratepayers in the Town;
- Maintain current service levels to the community (supported by the Community Development Plan 2022/2027);

- Ensure Council structure the budget to perform committed capital works per the adopted long term financial plan 2022/2037.

The Town's current financial health is 'adequate' in State and Regional comparisons, and by managing the rates yield and adopting the budget principles listed above, in line with the Long Term Financial plan the Town will be able to maintain and further improve its financial ratios and ability to meet community expectations in relation to future growth, service delivery and asset & road renewal.

## STRATEGIC AND SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

The following sections of the Town's Strategic Community Plan 2018-2028 are applicable in the consideration of this item:

- 4.b.2 Transparent and regular financial reporting and communication to the community is undertaken
- 4.b.3 Transparent and regular governance reporting and communication to the community is undertaken
- 4.b.4 Constructive forums are provided for discussion and the representation of the diversity of views and needs that impact on the Town's developments, programs and policies

### *Environmental*

There are no significant identifiable economic, environmental, or social impacts relating to this item.

### *Access and Inclusion Plan*

The following outcomes of the Town's Access and Inclusion Plan 2023-2026 apply in relation to this item:

- Outcome 3 – Accessible Information
- Outcome 4 – Quality of Service
- Outcome 5 – Opportunities for Feedback
- Outcome 6 – Public Consultation

### *Corporate Business Plan*

The following actions of the Town's Corporate Business Plan 2018-2022 apply in relation to this item:

## RISK MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS

There is a financial risk associated with this item because any differential rating basis GRV or UV with a proposed rate in the dollar more than twice the lowest; and/or Minimum rate payment applying to more than 50% of each category or 50% of Total number of properties within the district will require ministerial approval.

There is also risk associated with valuations for UV Differentials as these valuations are reviewed annually by the Valuer-General (Landgate) with (GRV valuations revised every three years).

Annual valuations for UV are expected to be received May 2023 from the Valuer-General and if the valuations have materially changed, there may be a difference to the revenue proposed in the budget and objects and reasons for 2023/24. GRV - re-valuation will occur again - 2025.

The risk rating is considered to be high (10), which is determined by a likelihood of unlikely (2) and a consequence of moderate (3).

## OPTIONS

*Option 1 – Adopt officer’s recommendation*

*Option 2 – Amend officer’s recommendation*

*Option 3 – Do not adopt officer’s recommendation*

## CONCLUSION

This report recommends that council endorse the statement of rating objects and reasons and advertise the proposed 2023/24 differential general rates and minimum rate payment for public comment, as required by section 6.36 of the local government act 1995. The proposed rate model will yield \$65,178,350.00 in rate revenue (based on current valuations plus interims) which will deliver services and community infrastructure, as outlined in the long-term financial plan 2022/37 and Strategic Community plan 2022 -2032.

## ATTACHMENTS

1. 2023/24 Rates Strategy Objects and Reasons (under separate cover)

## 8.2 Regulatory Services

**8.2.1 TEMPORARY APPROVAL OF WORKFORCE ACCOMMODATION (FORMER PORT HEDLAND DETENTION CENTRE) AT LOT 2 (NO.15) DEMPSTER STREET, PORT HEDLAND**

**Author:** Senior Urban Planner  
**Authorising Officer:** Manager Town Planning and Development  
**Disclosure of Interest:** The Author and Authorising Officer declare that they do not have any conflicts of interest in relation to this item.

## CM202223/055 COUNCIL DECISION

MOVED: CR CHRISTENSEN

SECONDED: CR ECKHART

That Council, pursuant to Clause 74 and Clause 77 of Schedule 2 of the Deemed Provisions of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*, APPROVES an amendment to the Planning Application 2009/504 on Lot 2 (No. 15) Dempster Street, Port Hedland for Temporary Workforce Accommodation subject to the following conditions and advice notes:

## Extent

1. This approval is limited to the temporary use of only sixty-four (64) transportable buildings for residential accommodation (Workforce Accommodation) and associated buildings for dining / kitchen and laundry facilities, until 30 April 2028, but only in accordance with the following conditions of approval.
2. Subject to Conditions 19 and 23, the landowner shall cease the approved use by 30 April 2028.
3. Subject to Condition 4, the landowner must remove all transportable buildings from the land by no later than 30 April 2029 in accordance with an approved Site Rehabilitation Plan, which shall be submitted to and approved by the Town of Port Hedland by 31 October 2027.
4. If the use of the land is terminated early pursuant to Condition 19 or 23, the landowner must remove all transportable buildings from the land by no later than six months after the date of early termination.
5. This decision to approve shall expire if the development has not substantially commenced within two (2) years of the decision date.
6. This approval supersedes previous development approval 2009/504 and all associated conditions.
7. Pursuant to Clause 74 of the Deemed Provisions, the development may not be commenced until the Town of Port Hedland has approved the plans required by conditions 9 and 10,

---

### Limitations on use

8. A maximum of 208 persons are permitted to be accommodated on the property at any one time, including workforce and service workforce staff.

### Plans

9. The landowner to submit amended and accurate plans to the satisfaction of the Town for approval on or before 1 July 2023. The approved development shall be in accordance with the stamped approved plans.
  10. The landowner must submit an Operational Management Plan, on or before 1 August 2023, outlining the following, for approval by the Town:
    - 10.1 Complaints Management Procedure.
    - 10.2 Contact details of the landowner and site manager.
    - 10.3 Fire and Emergency Management Plan.
    - 10.4 Noise Management Plan.
    - 10.5 Vehicle and Bicycle Parking Management Plan, to include but not be limited to the following:
      - show which car parking bays will be allocated for visitors, occupants, staff and disabled person/s.
      - bicycle allocations for the workforce and location of bicycle parking area(s).
      - parking bays to designed as 'User Class 3A' instead of current 'User Class 1A'.
      - location of bus parking area on site.
      - provide an accurate site plan depicting locations of all parking areas.
    - 10.6 Traffic Management Plan, to include but not be limited to the following:
      - how workforce trips will be controlled.
      - details or estimated times of movements (or update Traffic Impact Assessment report).
    - 10.7 Lighting Management Plan to include but not be limited to the following:
      - A floodlight plan indicating location, type and lumens of all lighting.
      - Indicate lighting management strategy for consideration of sensitive adjoining marine and native fauna.
    - 10.8 Cyclone Management Plan to include but not be limited to the following:
      - Identify the buildings which are proposed for emergency cyclone sheltering purposes and their level of importance.
-

- 
- The actions to be taken at each cyclone alert level.
  - Alternative measures to ensure the safe relocation of residents to appropriate facilities in the event of a cyclone.
- 10.9 Health and Safety Management Plan for occupants and visitors, with reference but not limited to the following:
- location and provision of private, communal and general open space areas on and off the property.
  - how privacy / minimum areas can be achieved with screening and/or fencing,
  - opportunities for recreation facilities on and off site.
  - location of CCTV or other security systems to be used.
  - opportunity for and location of end-of-trip facilities for service staff.
- 10.10 Details of any food, beverage and liquor to be sold or consumed on site, including details of how this may impact or benefit the surrounding community, and detail of how any identified impacts can be effectively managed.
11. The development must be carried out in accordance with the approved Operational Management Plan.
12. The landowner is to submit a landscaping plan to the satisfaction of the Town on or before 1 July 2023. The landscape plan shall depict but not be limited to following:
- 12.1 Installation of new planting within the verge area consisting of ground cover and shrubs, to the satisfaction of the Town.
  - 12.2 Installation of reticulation within new and existing landscaping areas, within streetscape, to the satisfaction of the Town.
  - 12.3 all cracker dust is to be removed from the verge and streetscape areas.
  - 12.4 removal of mesh security fencing along the Dempster Street boundary and within the streetscape area, to the satisfaction of the Town.
  - 12.5 removal of all barbed wire within streetscape and the property.
  - 12.6 Indicate either no fence or new fence style and materials, within the Dempster Street streetscape. If new fencing proposed, elevation plan of new fence is required to be provided to a visually permeable standard.
  - 12.7 a schedule of plants and materials in accordance with the Town's Landscaping Guidelines and Preferred Planting Guide.
13. The approved landscaping plan shall be implemented before 1 May 2024 and maintained thereafter, to the satisfaction of the Town.
14. The landowner to submit an amended Traffic Impact Assessment for approval by the Town on or before 1 August 2023. The development must comply with any requirements arising from the approved Traffic Impact Assessment.
15. Within six months of commencement of the development, an updated Social Impact Assessment and Social Impact Management Plan shall be submitted to and approved by the Town of Port Hedland, which:
-

- 
- 15.1 Includes an adequate level of community consultation with surrounding residents and Aboriginal groups;
  - 15.2 Includes adequate strategies and actions to ensure mental health and wellbeing of occupiers through provision of leisure and recreation options;
  - 15.3 Include timeframes for agreed KPI's;
  - 15.4 Provides details on annual monitoring and reporting of the effectiveness of the SIMP, which shall be submitted by the developer to the Town at the end of every financial year. The SIMP shall be modified in response to any socio-economic issues identified by the Town (based on agreed KPI's) that are a direct result of the approved development's operations.
  - 15.5 Annual monitoring and reporting of the SIMP's implementation shall commence on 1 July 2024 and be reported on 1 July each year thereafter, for the duration of the approval.

#### Site safety

16. By 1 August 2023 the landowner is to submit to the Town a Building Report prepared by a suitably qualified person which includes an assessment of all buildings on site, their ability to be safely occupied and/or repaired, and identifies any which contain asbestos material.
17. In respect of buildings identified in the Building Report as being incapable of safe occupation, the landowner shall, either –
  - 17.1 demolish the building or buildings and remove them from the site by a date stipulated by the Town, which subject to Condition 17.2, shall be no later than 30 April 2028; or
  - 17.2 if approved by the Town, repair the building to a standard outlined in the Building Report.
18. In respect of the building referred to as 'J' Block (former high security isolation facility of the former Detention Centre use); the landowner shall, before 1 July 2025, either –
  - 18.1 demolish the building and remove it from the land; or
  - 18.2 if approved by the Town, renovate the building to a standard agreed by the Town.
19. If any building required to be demolished and removed from the land is not demolished and removed by the time required by Condition 17 or 18 (as the case may be), this approval to use the land temporarily for workforce accommodation shall immediately terminate. For the removal of doubt, the early termination operates notwithstanding Conditions 1 and 2, and does not affect the landowner's obligation to demolish and remove buildings from the site pursuant to Conditions 17 and 18.
20. All stormwater to be contained and disposed of on-site, to the satisfaction of the Town.

#### Contributions

- 
21. Prior to occupation of the site, the developer shall make a community contribution of \$63,840, for the purpose of expenditure by the Town of Port Hedland on local community infrastructure, including but not limited to shared paths within close proximity to the facility which occupiers and residents can utilise for recreation purposes. The contribution shall be paid in full (lump sum) unless other alternative arrangements are agreed in writing with the Town.

#### Future planning

22. In recognition of the fact that the land is in an area for which the preparation of a structure plan is required and that this approval represents a temporary land use pending redevelopment in accordance with an approved structure plan, the landowner is to submit a structure plan and supporting technical documents as required by the Town in accordance with the Department of Planning Lands and Heritage Structure Plan Guidelines, *Local Planning Scheme No. 7* and the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015* on or before 1 July 2025.
23. If the structure plan and supporting technical documents are not submitted in accordance with the preceding condition, the approval to use the land for temporary workforce accommodation shall terminate on 30 April 2026. For the removal of doubt, the early termination operates notwithstanding conditions 1 and 2, and does not affect the landowner's obligation to demolish and remove any building pursuant to conditions 17 or 18.

#### Notifications

24. By 1 July 2023 the landowner shall give written consent to the lodgement on the certificate of title to the land of a notification pursuant to Section 70A of the Transfer of Land Act. The notification shall be prepared and lodged by the Town's Solicitors at the full cost of the landowner, and shall give notification that the lot is located in an area likely to be subject to coastal erosion and/or inundation over the next 100 years and is subject to conditions of development approval which may limit the term of the approval. The notification is to state as follows:

“VULNERABLE COASTAL AREA –This lot is located in an area likely to be subject to coastal erosion and/or inundation over the next 100 years. Development on this lot is subject to conditions of development approval which limit the term of approval and require the development to be removed and the land reinstated to its predevelopment condition. Refer to the Town of Port Hedland for details.”

25. By 1 July 2023 the landowner shall give written consent to the lodgement on the certificate of title to the land of a notification pursuant to Section 70A of the Transfer of Land Act. The notification shall be prepared and lodged by the Town's Solicitors at the full cost of the landowner, and shall give notification of the existence of the approved Bushfire Fire Management Plan and advise landowners of their obligations in respect to the use and ongoing management of the land. The notification is to state as follows:

---

“This land is within a bushfire prone area as designated by an order made by the Fire and Emergency Services Commissioner and is subject to a Bushfire Management Plan. Additional planning and building requirements may apply to development on this land.”

### Access to Rooms

26. The landowner to enter into an agreement with the Town for granting access to 10-rooms to the benefit of the Town of Port Hedland and its contractors associated with Town of Port Hedland projects, at a nominal cost. The agreement shall include at a minimum the following:
- a. The rooms shall be available each day the facility is in operation, at all times, and accessible within 48 hours of a booking being made
  - b. No exclusion periods are to be implemented by the operator
  - c. The Town is responsible for booking the rooms, with invoices for payments being made by the occupier.
  - d. Nominal cost to incorporate provision of meals, cleaning and laundry services at cost and be set at the beginning of each financial year (subject to CPI).
- The agreement shall be prepared by the Town’s solicitors at the full cost of the applicant and be in place prior to operation of the facility.

*CARRIED BY SIMPLE MAJORITY (8/0)*

---

### PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is for Council to consider a proposal for workforce accommodation and ancillary uses (dining / kitchen and laundry) at Lot 2 (No. 15) Dempster Street, Port Hedland (**Attachment 4**).

The application is re-presented for determination as the Applicant has amended the proposal after the decision of the Council not to support the officer’s recommendation when presented to the Ordinary Council Meeting on 29 March 2023.

The proposal remains a significant development and future development area, and will introduce workforce accommodation within the townsite boundaries, now proposing only use of 64 transportable dwellings, with a total of 208 rooms.

### DETAIL

#### Council Meeting 29 March 2023

The application was presented to the Council meeting on 29 March 2023. After considerable discussion, the motion to support the officer’s recommendation was lost (Item 12.3.4 – Council Decision Reference CM202223/022).

The initial proposal and application (**Attachment 1**) was recommended for approval as per the following:

*“That Council, pursuant to Clause 74 and Clause 77 of Schedule 2 of the Deemed Provisions of the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015, APPROVES Amendment to the Planning Application 2009/504 on Lot 2 (No. 15) Dempster Street, Port Hedland for Temporary Workforce Accommodation subject to the following conditions and advice notes:*

#### *Extent*

- 1 This approval is limited to the temporary use of only sixty four (64) transportable buildings for residential accommodation (Workforce Accommodation) and associated buildings for dining / kitchen and laundry facilities, until 30 April 2028, but only in accordance with the following conditions of approval.*
- 2 Subject to Conditions 19 and 23, the landowner shall cease the approved use by 30 April 2028.*
- 3 Subject to Condition 4, the landowner must remove all transportable buildings from the land by no later than 30 April 2029 in accordance with an approved Site Rehabilitation Plan, which shall be submitted to and approved by the Town of Port Hedland by 31 October 2027.*
- 4 If the use of the land is terminated early pursuant to Condition 19 or 23, the landowner must remove all transportable buildings from the land by no later than six months after the date of early termination.*
- 5 This decision to approve shall expire if the development has not substantially commenced within two (2) years of the decision date.*
- 6 This approval supersedes previous development approval 2009/504 and all associated conditions.*
- 7 Pursuant to Clause 74 of the Deemed Provisions, the development may not be commenced until the Town of Port Hedland has approved the plans required by conditions 9 and 10,*

#### *Limitations on use*

- 8 A maximum of 208 persons are permitted to be accommodated on the property at any one time, including workforce and service workforce staff.*

#### *Plans*

- 9 The landowner to submit amended and accurate plans to the satisfaction of the Town for approval on or before 1 July 2023. The approved development shall be in accordance with the stamped approved plans.*
- 10 The landowner must submit an Operational Management Plan, on or before 1 August 2023, outlining the following, for approval by the Town:*
  - 10.1 Complaints Management Procedure.*
  - 10.2 Contact details of the landowner and site manager.*
  - 10.3 Fire and Emergency Management Plan.*
  - 10.4 Noise Management Plan.*
  - 10.5 Vehicle and Bicycle Parking Management Plan, to include but not be limited to the following:*
    - show which car parking bays will be allocated for visitors, occupants, staff and disabled person/s.*
    - bicycle allocations for the workforce and location of bicycle parking area(s).*
    - parking bays to designed as ‘User Class 3A’ instead of current ‘User Class 1A’.*
    - location of bus parking area on site.*
    - provide an accurate site plan depicting locations of all parking areas.*
  - 10.6 Traffic Management Plan, to include but not be limited to the following:*
    - how workforce trips will be controlled.*

- details or estimated times of movements (or update Traffic Impact Assessment report).
- 10.7 Lighting Management Plan to include but not be limited to the following:
- A floodlight plan indicating location, type and lumens of all lighting.
  - Indicate lighting management strategy for consideration of sensitive adjoining marine and native fauna.
- 10.8 Cyclone Management Plan to include but not be limited to the following:
- Identify the buildings which are proposed for emergency cyclone sheltering purposes and their level of importance.
  - The actions to be taken at each cyclone alert level.
  - Alternative measures to ensure the safe relocation of residents to appropriate facilities in the event of a cyclone.
- 10.9 Health and Safety Management Plan for occupants and visitors, with reference but not limited to the following:
- location and provision of private, communal and general open space areas on and off the property.
  - how privacy / minimum areas can be achieved with screening and/or fencing,
  - opportunities for recreation facilities on and off site.
  - location of CCTV or other security systems to be used.
  - opportunity for and location of end-of-trip facilities for service staff.
- 10.10 Details of any food, beverage and liquor to be sold or consumed on site, including details of how this may impact or benefit the surrounding community, and detail of how any identified impacts can be effectively managed.
- 11 The development must be carried out in accordance with the approved Operational Management Plan.
- 12 The landowner is to submit a landscaping plan to the satisfaction of the Town on or before 1 July 2023. The landscape plan shall depict but not be limited to following:
- 12.1 Installation of new planting within the verge area consisting of ground cover and shrubs, to the satisfaction of the Town.
- 12.2 Installation of reticulation within new and existing landscaping areas, within streetscape, to the satisfaction of the Town.
- 12.3 all cracker dust is to be removed from the verge and streetscape areas.
- 12.4 removal of mesh security fencing along the Dempster Street boundary and within the streetscape area, to the satisfaction of the Town.
- 12.5 removal of all barbed wire within streetscape and the property.
- 12.6 Indicate either no fence or new fence style and materials, within the Dempster Street streetscape. If new fencing proposed, elevation plan of new fence is required to be provided to a visually permeable standard.
- 12.7 a schedule of plants and materials in accordance with the Town's Landscaping Guidelines and Preferred Planting Guide.
- 13 The approved landscaping plan shall be implemented before 1 May 2024 and maintained thereafter, to the satisfaction of the Town.
- 14 The landowner to submit an amended Traffic Impact Assessment for approval by the Town on or before 1 August 2023. The development must comply with any requirements arising from the approved Traffic Impact Assessment.
- 15 Within six months of commencement of the development, an updated Social Impact Assessment and Social Impact Management Plan shall be submitted to and approved by the Town of Port Hedland, which:
- 15.1 Includes an adequate level of community consultation with surrounding residents and Aboriginal groups;

- 15.2 *Includes adequate strategies and actions to ensure mental health and wellbeing of occupiers through provision of leisure and recreation options;*
- 15.3 *Include timeframes for agreed KPI's;*
- 15.4 *Provides details on annual monitoring and reporting of the effectiveness of the SIMP, which shall be submitted by the developer to the Town at the end of every financial year. The SIMP shall be modified in response to any socio-economic issues identified by the Town (based on agreed KPI's) that are a direct result of the approved development's operations.*
- 15.5 *Annual monitoring and reporting of the SIMP's implementation shall commence on 1 July 2024 and be reported on 1 July each year thereafter, for the duration of the approval.*

#### Site safety

- 16 *By 1 August 2023 the landowner is to submit to the Town a Building Report prepared by a suitably qualified person which includes an assessment of all buildings on site, their ability to be safely occupied and/or repaired, and identifies any which contain asbestos material.*
- 17 *In respect of buildings identified in the Building Report as being incapable of safe occupation, the landowner shall, either –*
  - 17.1 *demolish the building or buildings and remove them from the site by a date stipulated by the Town, which subject to Condition 17.2, shall be no later than 30 April 2028; or*
  - 17.2 *if approved by the Town, repair the building to a standard outlined in the Building Report.*
- 18 *In respect of the building referred to as 'J' Block (former high security isolation facility of the former Detention Centre use); the landowner shall, before 1 July 2025, either –*
  - 18.1 *demolish the building and remove it from the land; or*
  - 18.2 *if approved by the Town, renovate the building to a standard agreed by the Town.*
- 19 *If any building required to be demolished and removed from the land is not demolished and removed by the time required by Condition 17 or 18 (as the case may be), this approval to use the land temporarily for workforce accommodation shall immediately terminate. For the removal of doubt, the early termination operates notwithstanding Conditions 1 and 2, and does not affect the landowner's obligation to demolish and remove buildings from the site pursuant to Conditions 17 and 18.*
- 20 *All stormwater to be contained and disposed of on-site, to the satisfaction of the Town.*

#### Contributions

- 21 *Prior to occupation of the site, the developer shall make a community contribution of \$63,840, for the purpose of expenditure by the Town of Port Hedland on local community infrastructure, including but not limited to shared paths within close proximity to the facility which occupiers and residents can utilise for recreation purposes. The contribution shall be paid in full (lump sum) unless other alternative arrangements are agreed in writing with the Town.*

#### Future planning

- 22 *In recognition of the fact that the land is in an area for which the preparation of a structure plan is required and that this approval represents a temporary land use pending redevelopment in accordance with an approved structure plan, the landowner is to submit a structure plan and supporting technical documents as required by the Town in accordance with the Department of Planning Lands and Heritage Structure Plan Guidelines, Local Planning Scheme No. 7 and the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 on or before 1 July 2025.*
- 23 *If the structure plan and supporting technical documents are not submitted in accordance with the preceding condition, the approval to use the land for temporary workforce accommodation shall terminate on 30 April 2026. For the removal of doubt, the early termination operates*

*notwithstanding conditions 1 and 2, and does not affect the landowner's obligation to demolish and remove any building pursuant to conditions 17 or 18.*

### *Notifications*

24 *By 1 July 2023 the landowner shall give written consent to the lodgement on the certificate of title to the land of a notification pursuant to Section 70A of the Transfer of Land Act. The notification shall be prepared and lodged by the Town's Solicitors at the full cost of the landowner, and shall give notification that the lot is located in an area likely to be subject to coastal erosion and/or inundation over the next 100 years and is subject to conditions of development approval which may limit the term of the approval. The notification is to state as follows:*

*"VULNERABLE COASTAL AREA –This lot is located in an area likely to be subject to coastal erosion and/or inundation over the next 100 years. Development on this lot is subject to conditions of development approval which limit the term of approval and require the development to be removed and the land reinstated to its predevelopment condition. Refer to the Town of Port Hedland for details."*

25 *By 1 July 2023 the landowner shall give written consent to the lodgement on the certificate of title to the land of a notification pursuant to Section 70A of the Transfer of Land Act. The notification shall be prepared and lodged by the Town's Solicitors at the full cost of the landowner, and shall give notification of the existence of the approved Bushfire Fire Management Plan and advise landowners of their obligations in respect to the use and ongoing management of the land. The notification is to state as follows:*

*"This land is within a bushfire prone area as designated by an order made by the Fire and Emergency Services Commissioner and is subject to a Bushfire Management Plan. Additional planning and building requirements may apply to development on this land." "*

### Site Context

Lot 2 (No. 15) Dempster Street, Port Hedland is 3.23 hectares in area. The lot is located east side of the Port Hedland suburban area and abuts the foreshore reserve and dunes (north). Immediately north-east of the subject site, two lots contain, single and two storey grouped dwellings. Two properties abutting to the west and south-west also contain single and two storey grouped dwellings and a former recreation facility, presently privately owned and disused. The land opposite, along the Dempster Street frontage contain two storey grouped dwellings.

Community uses located within 300 metres from the subject site are:

- 'One Tree Len Taplin' childcare centre, located south-east on Dempster Street.
- YMCA Port Hedland Early Learning Centre located on corner of Dempster Street and Keesing Street.
- Andrew McLaughlin Community Centre, located east on Keesing Street
- Cooke Point Playgroup located east on Keesing Street.

Dempster street and immediate surrounding streets are local roads. Connector streets for through access in the locale are Keesing Street, Robinson Street, Thompson Street, Tinder Street, Corney Street, Sutherland Street and Anderson Street. Larger capacity streets or 'District Distributor Roads' for access to commercial uses in around the port facilities, local

shopping, and other employment attractors are Athol Street, McGregor Street, Cooke Point Road. Wilson Street is a Primary Distributor Road in the road hierarchy.

The subject site presently contains 76 separate buildings, estimated to contain a total of 510 bedrooms. The buildings are made up of:

- 64 single storey transportable buildings, of which:
  - 13 units have 3 bedrooms (Total: 39 bedrooms).
  - 50 units have 4 bedrooms (Total: 171 bedrooms).
  - 1 unit laundry facilities;
- 8 two storey in-situ residential buildings (each with 30 bedrooms) (Total: 240 bedrooms);
- 1 two storey building (referred to as 'J - Block') (former high security isolation facility of the former detention centre use) (can be modified for accommodation – potential 30 rooms);
- 1 two storey administration building (can be used for accommodation – potential 30 rooms);
- 1 single storey dining and kitchen building; and
- 1 single storey building (former gymnasium recreation building).



Aerial Photo – 15 Dempster St, Port Hedland

## Background

Lot 2 was previously owned by the Commonwealth of Australia Department of Home Affairs. It was purchased in September 2022 by DA Campbell Property Holdings Pty Ltd (subsidiary of Campbell Transport).

The applicant seeks to amend a former development approval issued by the Town on 6 October 2009. Pursuant to clause 77 of the Deemed Provisions, of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*, the owner of land in respect of the development approval granted, can make an application to amend or delete any condition to which the approval is relevant.

The development approval (DA2009/540) is described in Town's records as "Temporary Use – Residential Buildings Including 14 Additional Temporary Buildings". The approval document states the conditions as:

- "1. This approval relates only to the proposed TEMPORARY USE - RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS - including 14 additional temporary buildings and other incidental development, as indicated on the approved plans. It does not relate to any other development on this lot.*
- 2. The temporary use of the site for RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS is valid until 30 April 2012 only.*
- 3. This buildings and works approved by this permit must be commenced within twelve (12) months (sic).*
- 4. A maximum of 432 persons may be accommodated on site at any one time.*
- 5. A minimum of 304 parking bays must be provided for the use including a minimum of 6 disabled bays and 15 oversize vehicle bays to the satisfaction of the Manager Planning.*
- 6. Car parking bays and accessways required by Condition 5 must be designed in accordance with the requirements of the Town of Port Hedland Town Planning Scheme No. 5 - Appendix 8. Such areas are to be constructed, sealed, drained, kerbed, marked and signposted and thereafter maintained to the satisfaction of the Manager Planning.*
- 7. Within 30 days of the date of this approval, a detailed landscaping plan for the eastern portion of the site (approximately 70m) along Dempster Street must be submitted to and approved by the Manager Planning. Such landscaping plan must take into consideration the existing landscaping works in the locality including works that have been undertaken within the road verge.*
- 8. Within 60 days, or such further period as may be agreed by the Manager Planning, landscaping and reticulation must be established in accordance with the approved detailed plans to the satisfaction of the Manager Planning.*
- 9. The Stormwater disposal to be designed in accordance with Council's Engineering Department Guidelines, and all to the satisfaction of the Manager Planning.*
- 10. No access is permitted to Reserve 30768 (Foreshore Reserve) without the further written consent of Council.*
- 11. Any roof mounted or freestanding plant or equipment such as air conditioning units to be located and/or screened so as not to be visible from beyond the boundaries of the development site.*

12. *Within 60 days of the expiry of this permit, all temporary buildings must be removed from the site and the land made good to the satisfaction of the manager planning."*

### History

- 1967-1974 Mt Newman Mining Company constructed the single men's accommodation due to lack of housing in the boom years of the 1960-1970 period.
- 1991 The BHP single men's quarters were converted into an immigration detention center (Port Hedland Detention Centre).
- April 2001 The Port Hedland Detention Centre had a holding capacity for 820 persons, but housed 400 detainees.
- May 2004 The last 17 detainees were removed from Port Hedland Detention Centre.
- 2007 Port Hedland Detention Centre was decommissioned.
- 28 Nov 2007 The former Port Hedland Detention Centre is recognised in the Town of Port Hedland Local Heritage Survey.
- 21 Dec 2007 Development Approval from the Town for Change of use – 'use not listed (detention center)' to residential building and addition of 49 transportable buildings.
- 6 Oct 2009 Development Approval issued by the Town for Temporary use - Residential Buildings, including 14 additional temporary buildings.
- June 2013 An heritage assessment was completed by AECOM Australia on behalf of the Department of Finance and Deregulation, with amendments and/or additions by the Heritage Council and the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage
- 2017 The property and buildings are list under the Town's Municipal Heritage Inventory.
- 11 Dec 2020 The Port Hedland Detention Centre (former) is assessed by the Heritage Council of Western Australia and declared to have insufficient cultural heritage significance at the State level for inclusion in the State Register of Heritage Places.
- May 2021 Stephen Carrick Architects was engaged by the Department of Home Affairs to prepare an Archival Record for the Port Hedland Detention Centre.
- 6 Dec 2022 Formal Lodgment of this Application for Development Approval with the Town of Port Hedland
- 13 Feb 2023 Applicant and landowner conducted an onsite meeting-inspection with Town of Port Hedland Councillors, and officers.

## Proposal

Further to the Council decision on 29 March 2023, the applicant has amended the application (submitted 1 May 2023) (**Attachment 4**) proposing the following:

*“The proposed development is seeking to permit the use of the transportable buildings only (a total of 208-rooms) as temporary workforce accommodation for a period not longer than 5-years. The grant would be subject to conditions agreed with the Town of Port Hedland including the provision of 10-rooms to the Town of Port Hedland at cost for the organisations or the community’s benefit.*

*No other changes are proposed other than what may be required through conditions of approval agreed with the Town of Port Hedland.*

*The proposal contemplates use of the existing site for a five-year period to*

*- Provide accommodation for Campbell transport staff, shifting their operations from residential rental accommodation making available around 40 dwellings to the broader property market in doing so;*

*- deliver the Town of Port Hedland additional rooms to meet their requirements or to benefit the broader community at cost estimated to be between \$80 - \$100 p/night.”*

The application formerly proposed to reactivate the use of the entire site by use all 76 buildings.

Supporting and technical documents submitted with the application are:

1. Copy of certificate of title and deposited plan.
2. Copy of plans (only the former approved plans for DA2009/540).
3. Transport Impact Assessment by Flyt Pty Ltd (Transport Consultancy).
4. Social Impact Assessment by Lucid Economics (Economic Consultancy).
5. Bush Fire Management Plan by Linfire Consultancy.

## Planning Framework

- Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015
- Local Planning Strategy
- Local Planning Scheme No. 5 (Reference only)
- Local Planning Scheme No. 7
- Local Planning Policy – 05 ‘Workforces Accommodation’
- Local Planning Policy - 06 ‘Social Impact Assessment’

## Determination Options

Given the nature of the development being predominantly for ‘Workforce Accommodation’, the general limitation placed by this and the amendment to the proposal, it is considered there are 2 options available for how the Council can determine the application.

	Conditions	Comments
Option 1:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approve the partial use of the site, limited to 64 transportable buildings (a maximum of 208 persons) and an associated dining and kitchen building only.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Partial use of site permits the landowner to use about 64 buildings on site.</li> <li>• The use assists the owner to support the financial investment.</li> <li>• The limited use will limit wider impact on the amenity of the surrounding residential use.</li> <li>• The partial use gives an opportunity to test the landowner's/operator's ability to manage the site and any issues that arise.</li> <li>• The established car parking of 145 bays, can only support, a workforce of 150 persons.</li> <li>• The former approval (DA2009/540) required a minimum of 304 car parking bays be provided on site for the 432 persons originally permitted. The full use of the site cannot be established without the removal of some buildings for additional parking.</li> <li>• No building have been removed since the issue of the former approval.</li> <li>• The living environment i.e. landscaping/private open space can be improved by removal/demolition of some buildings.</li> </ul> <p>The availability of some rooms for rental will assist with the shortage of rental accommodation in town.</p>
Option 2:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Refuse the application.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The development may have a detrimental impact on the residential community.</li> <li>• The 24hr nature of the use for workforce accommodation could be incompatible with the residential amenity of the locality.</li> <li>• Full use of the site will have wider impact on the amenity of the surrounding residential area.</li> <li>• The living environment i.e. landscaping/private open space and on-site amenities are limited and not suitable for workforce accommodation.</li> </ul>

### Social Impact Assessment

Social Impact Assessment (SIA) is a process for identifying analysis, assessment, management and monitoring of the potential positive and negative social impacts of a project.

The Town's Local Planning Policy – 06 'Social Impact Assessment', states the following objectives:

1. To encourage early identification and assessment of positive and negative social impacts of a planning proposal, through the development of a Social Impact Assessment (SIA) and Social Impact Management Plan (SIMP) to mitigate the adverse effects and maximise positive social outcomes for the impacted community.
2. To outline the Town's expectations concerning the key elements of a Social Impact Assessment, including genuine engagement with stakeholders.

3. To support informed decision-making through the incorporation of quality, evidence-based information, and management measures monitored through key performance indicators.

The applicant has submitted an SIA prepared by Lucid Economics consultancy. The assessment is lacking detail, commitment and doesn't provide assistance for the on-site and surrounding community. Should the Council approve the development, whether in part or full and due to the ongoing use for 5 years, it is still recommended a condition be applied requiring completion of an updated SIA and SIMP by a suitably qualified practitioner.

#### Traffic Impact Assessment

A transport impact assessment (TIA) has been prepared by Flyt Pty Ltd (Transport Consultancy) for the proposal based on an accommodation capacity of 432 persons. The report essentially supported the development with a full capacity of 432 persons. The report provided a general assessment and the Town's Infrastructure Services has requested an update to the document for clarity and consistency between the proposal documents and to ensure proposed impacts of the development are clearly mitigated. The report needs further justification on parking availability, separation and impact. Should the Council support the application, it is still recommended an appropriate condition be applied requiring amendments to the TIA.

#### Landscaping

There is some mature vegetation around the site between the transportable buildings and along the boundary perimeters. The applicant has suggested that a landscaping plan is being prepared but has not been lodged at the time the initial and or current reports were prepared. The applicant has agreed to having a condition applied to the development in relation to landscaping. Should the Council support the application an appropriate condition has been recommended requiring the following:

- a) Installation of new planting within the verge area consisting of ground cover and shrubs, to the satisfaction of the Town.
- b) Installation of reticulation within new and existing landscaping areas, within streetscape, to the satisfaction of the Town.
- c) all cracker dust is to be removed from the verge and streetscape areas.
- d) removal of mesh security fencing along the Dempster Street boundary and within the streetscape area, to the satisfaction of the Town.
- e) removal of all barbed wire within streetscape and the property.
- f) Indicate either no fence or new fence style and materials, within the Dempster Street streetscape. If new fencing proposed, elevation plan of new fence is required to be provided to a visually permeable standard.
- g) a schedule of plants and materials in accordance with the Town's Landscaping Guidelines and Preferred Planting Guide.

#### Heritage Assessments

There have been three heritage assessments undertaken for the site and buildings, two by the Federal Government, and one by the State Heritage Commission. The State's assessment of the site found, the place did not have the cultural heritage significance required to meet entry to the State Register.

The lot and buildings were identified under the Town's Municipal Heritage Inventory in 2017- (No.52), Level of significance: Grade C. Even though the site has considerable history, ie was *the first facility specifically established in Australia for the detention of illegal maritime arrivals ('boat people')*, the retention of buildings over demolition is not strongly committed in any document, possibly arising from and stigma of the living conditions in this and other centres and the community's sentiment against the Federal Government's migration policy. For the purposes of this application, it is considered appropriate, that heritage matters be addressed as part of long-term redevelopment of the site, not under the temporary approval of this application.

### Rooms Numbers on Site

The application is still lacking information about total bedroom numbers on site. The current amendment proposes use of only the 64 transportable dwellings with a maximum of 208 rooms. The Town's previous assessment and agreement was support for a maximum of 208 persons, which is reflected in the recommended conditions.

The former approval 2009, did not identify room numbers except for limiting the development to a maximum of 432 persons, under Condition 4 (DA2009/540). The applicant has not provided floor plans of the transportable buildings, relying on and lodging the same plans approved by the Town in 2009, for endorsement. The former 2009 plans only indicated the number of rooms for the 14 new transportable buildings approved.

The applicant was requested to provide a full set of plans / information on 30 January 2023, however, has suggested that the Town consider and rely on the plans (limited information) as submitted. To date no further plans have been lodged.

For the purposes of this assessment the information provided under the suggested Social Impact Statement, states there are a total of 450 bedrooms for use. In considering all information and the Town's records, the total number of rooms has been estimated as 510 rooms.

The Lucid Economics, Social Impact Statement indicates room numbers as:

*"Campbell Transport is proposing to transition its workforce to the former Beachfront Village site. Such a move would allow Campbell Transport to expand its accommodation capacity, allowing the business to expand in order to meet market demand. Campbell Transport estimates that it will require 208 of the 450 rooms available at the Beachfront Village. As Campbell Transport transitions its workforce from the existing housing, the move will introduce 40 houses into the local market. Additionally, Campbell Transport is amenable to opening the remaining 242 rooms at the Beachfront Village for key service worker accommodation, which would allow numerous small businesses in Port Hedland to expand and hire new staff."*

Should the Council support the proposal, it is recommended that the condition be applied requiring lodgement of detailed plans of all buildings on site and a report on the suitability of the buildings to be retained and used for accommodation.

It is also recommended that a temporary approval be limited to use of only the 64 transportable dwellings and a maximum of 208 persons be permitted to be accommodated on the property at any one time, including workforce and service workforce staff. The applicant's correspondence suggests support for these conditions.

### Issues to be Considered

Other matters to be considered, prior to deciding on this application:

- a) The impact of the use, in light of the current proposal to partially activate the 64 transportable dwellings, with a maximum of 208 persons.
- b) The assessment of the information provided indicates the use is aligned with 'Workforce Accommodation' use class being predominantly for Campbell Transport staff (presently 150 persons). This would be varied if service workers accommodation is allowed.
- c) How the site is managed if the property is opened again for residential accommodation. The landowner has indicated at a site meeting, he will be employing staff / company to run the workforce accommodation facility.
- d) The risk of not issuing an approval, could mean that the site remains in its current disused state and potentially not be redeveloped in the future.
- e) A commitment to prepare a structure plan and redevelop the site have been indicated by the applicant but not landowner. Conditions have been applied to ensure that structure plan framework is established to enable the redevelopment of the site. The Town can enter into a legal agreement with the landowner for preparing a Structure plan, demolishing buildings and general improvements (removal of fencing) and installation of improvement landscaping along the Dempster Street frontage.

### Temporary use and Structure Planning Milestones

As the subject site is zoned Urban Development and is highlighted as priority urban land in the Town's Local Planning Strategy, Council does have the option of refusing this development application altogether as no Structure Plan has been prepared for the land which guides its future residential development. However, Officers are cognisant of the commercial factors driving this proposal and are willing to work in good faith with the developer to establish a longer term vision for the site with an agreement to Structure Planning milestones and building demolition.

As this proposal is for Workforce Accommodation, the Local Planning Scheme allows imposing conditions of approval to limit the term of approval. The unique nature of this site, and for protection of the Town's strategic interests in this site being redeveloped in a form different to its current vernacular (a former Detention Centre) and more consistent with higher quality, permanent residential development, it is considered essential to tie this temporary approval to milestones to achieve a community assisted vision for the land.

The conditions of development approval that have been formulated to achieve this outcome, which includes building assessments and demolition of unusable or unsuitable buildings within specified timeframes. The timeframes proposed in the conditions recommended have been vetted by the Town's lawyers.

### Operational Management Plan

The Operational Management Plan has been required to provide to enable the applicant to provide proper assessment of proposed operations of the facility and impact on the amenity of

the locality. The detail within this plan is considered crucial to determining potential and actual impacts on surrounding amenity. This is also pertinent to proposed Conditions 16 and 17, which take a precautionary approach due to a lack of detail provided from the proponent on proposal's operations, the community's ability to access the site, and Officer concerns regarding occupier antisocial behaviour associated with introduction of a licenced premises into a residential area, particularly as the site is located in close proximity to sensitive uses including a primary school and several child care premises. No detail was provided from the applicant in this respect.

### Legal Advice

At the time the report was prepared, a copy of the approval conditions recommended to Council, were provided to the applicant and landowner for consideration and consent. The landowner referred the conditions their solicitor, where the conditions were mostly accepted, except for following:

- i) removal of the requirement for the deed of agreement and milestones for preparation of a structure plan.
- ii) Increase in maximum number of persons permitted to be accommodated on the property at any one time from 150 to 208 persons.
- iii) Including a further 6 months for remove of all transportable buildings from the land by 30 April 2029.
- iv) deletion of conditions relating to selling food products made on site or prepacked and any liquor products.

A meeting was held with the applicant and landowner on 23 March 2023, to discuss the preferred conditions and reasons for variations. Points ii) to iv) were accepted but agreement could not made about a condition relating to the Deed. It was concluded, all conditions would be referred to the Town's lawyers for advice and then reporting to Council, which was done on 23 March 2023.

Advice received from the Town's lawyers generally supports the conditions subject to some changes including removal of the requirement for the deed of agreement. The Town's lawyer's amendments to the conditions have been recommended as part of this report. It's recommended, the Council support the application with the conditions as indicated.

### LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE

In accordance with Policy 4/009 'Significant Decision Making', this matter is considered to be of medium significance, because the subject site is noted as a priority site for redevelopment for residential purposes in the Town's Local Planning Strategy.

### CONSULTATION

#### *Internal*

- Building Services
- Environmental Health Services
- Infrastructure Services (Engineering)

### *External Agencies*

- Nil

### *Community*

Three (3) submissions were received. Where relevant, the internal and external referral comments have been addressed in the detail section of the report, and a detailed outline, and officer recommendations on submission are included under Attachment (2) of this report.

As per Schedule 2, Part 8, Clause 64, Advertising applications, the application was considered a major application and advertised to neighbouring landowners and occupiers within 200m of the proposal site, an advertisement was placed in the newspaper and on the Town's website. The application was considered a major application, due to it being an amendment to a former approved use, a reactivation of significant development site.

### **LEGISLATION AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS**

- Planning and Development Act 2005
- Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015
- Town of Port Hedland Local Planning Strategy
- Town of Port Hedland Local Planning Scheme No.7
- Town of Port Hedland Local Planning Scheme No.5
- Local Planning Policy – 05 'Workforce Accommodation'
- Local Planning Policy - 06 'Social Impact Assessment'
- State Planning Policy 3.7 – Planning in Bushfire Prone Areas
- Local Planning Policy 11 – Stormwater Management

### **FINANCIAL AND RESOURCES IMPLICATIONS**

The proposal attracted a standard application fee. Its anticipated there will be no additional financial impacts for the Town based on the recommendation being supported. If the recommendation is not supported the matter could be referred to the State Administrative Tribunal which may also require a legal opinion and/or specialist consultancy skills.

The Applicant will be contributing approximately \$63,000 towards the development of the shared footpath adjacent to the property. The addition of the 10 rooms for the Town's use, at an indicative cost of \$80 to \$100 per night represents a saving of over \$100 per room when compared to other workforce accommodation facilities that the Town has access to. This equates to a potential saving of \$7000 per week, or \$364,000 over the course of the year assuming that all the rooms are taken up by the Town or the community for every night of the year.

### **STRATEGIC AND SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS**

The following sections of the Town's Strategic Community Plan 2022-2032 are applicable in the consideration of this item:

- 2.2 Enhanced local training and workforce opportunities

## RISK MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS

There is a compliance risk associated with this item because the applicant may fail to comply with the recommended conditions of approval. The risk rating is considered to be low (4), which is determined by a likelihood of unlikely (2) and a consequence of minor (2). The risk is negated by the Town's ability to undertake compliance action in accordance with the *Planning and Development Act 2005*.

There is a reputational risk associated with this item if referred to State Administrative Tribunal and may assess this application and can make determination without consideration of the Town's position or conditions. The risk rating is considered to be low (4), which is determined by a likelihood of unlikely (2) and a consequence of minor (2). The risk is negated by the Council adopting this recommendation, and the Town providing a formal response based on this recommendation.

## OPTIONS

*Option 1 – Adopt officer's recommendation*

*Option 2 – Do not adopt officer's recommendation*

## CONCLUSION

The landowner has amended the proposal by seeking to use only the 64 transportable dwelling for a total of 208 rooms and grant provision of 10-rooms to the Town of Port Hedland at cost for the organisations or the community's benefit /use. In consideration of all matters, the application is recommended for approval with a maximum of 208 persons. It is considered the partial use of site permits the use of the majority of buildings on site, which assists the landowner to support the financial investment. The partial use of the site may have a limited impact on the amenity of the surrounding residential use and provides the opportunity to test the landowner's/operator's ability to manage the potential amenity issues associated with site operations and any issues that arise. Furthermore, the development as existing cannot support additional car parking bays without the demolition of buildings. It is estimated that a workforce of 150 persons, as need to be accommodated by the landowner can be readily catered for by the existing 145 bays. The former approval (DA2009/540) required a minimum of 304 car parking bays be provided on site for the 432 persons originally permitted. The applicant has also offered 10 rooms for the Town's use at cost to provide community benefit.

## ATTACHMENTS

1. Application Report and Supporting Documents (under separate cover)
2. Schedule of Submissions (under separate cover)
3. Bushfire Management Plan (under separate cover)
4. Amended Proposal Report-1 May 2023 (under separate cover)

---

Item 9 New Business of an Urgent Nature (Late items)

---

---

Item 10 Closure

---

10.1 Date of Next Meeting

The next Ordinary Meeting of Council will be held on Wednesday 31 May 2023 commencing at 5:30 pm.


10.2 Closure

There being no further business, the Presiding Member declared the meeting closed at 7:38 pm.

DECLARATION OF CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

I certify that these Minutes were confirmed by the Council at its Ordinary Council Meeting on 15 May 2023.

CONFIRMATION:



---

PRESIDING MEMBER

28/6/2023

DATED