

TOWN OF PORT HEDLAND

AUDIT, RISK AND GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

TUESDAY 5 SEPTEMBER 2017 AT 5:30PM

COUNCIL CHAMBERS, MCGREGOR STREET, PORT HEDLAND

"A nationally significant, friendly city that people are proud to call home"

David Pentz Chief Executive Officer

Distribution Date: 12 September 2017

TERMS OF REFERENCE

1. Purpose of the Terms of Reference

The purpose of the terms of reference is to facilitate the operation of the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee.

2. Introduction

The Audit, Risk and Governance Committee has been established in accordance with Part 7 of the Local Government Act 1995.

The Audit, Risk and Governance Committee is an advisory committee formally appointed by the Council and is responsible to the Council. The Audit, Risk and Governance Committee does not have executive powers or authority to implement actions in areas over which management has responsibility and does not have any financial responsibility. The Audit, Risk and Governance Committee does not have any management functions and is therefore independent of management.

The ARG committee will primarily focus on relevant matters relating to Audit (internal and external), Risk and Governance.

The Audit, Risk and Governance Committee objective is to assist the Town of Port Hedland Council in liaising with the auditor and overseeing the external audit function and promoting the transparency and accountability of the Town's financial management systems and reporting. The role of the Committee is to report to the Council and provide appropriate advice and recommendations on matters relevant to its terms of reference in order to facilitate decision making by the Council in relation to the discharge of its responsibilities.

3. Objectives

The objectives of the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee are to oversee:

- 3.1 The integrity of external financial reporting, including accounting policies.
- 3.2 The scope of work, objectivity, performance and independence of the external auditor.
- 3.3 The establishment, effectiveness and maintenance of controls and systems to safeguard the Town's financial and physical resources.
- 3.4 The systems or procedures that are designed to ensure that the Town and its subsidiaries comply with relevant statutory and regulatory requirements.
- 3.5 The process for recognising risks arising from the Town's operations and strategies, and consider the adequacy of measures taken to manage those risks.
- 3.6 The process and systems which protect the Council against fraud and irregularities.

The Audit, Risk and Governance Committee must also add to the credibility of Council by promoting ethical standards through its work.

4. Authority

The Audit, Risk and Governance Committee has the authority to:

- 4.1 Review and suggest improvements to the internal and external auditor's annual audit plans and the outcomes/results of all audits undertaken.
- 4.2 Formally meet with the Town's appointed external auditor as necessary.
- 4.3 Seek resolution on any disagreements between management and the external auditors on financial reporting.
- 4.4 Advise Council on any or all of the above as deemed necessary.

5. Composition

- 5.1 The Audit, Risk and Governance Committee will comprise of ten members, eight Elected Members and two independent members.
- 5.2 The Council will appoint Audit, Risk and Governance Committee members.
- 5.3 The Presiding Member and Deputy Presiding Member will be appointed by the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee, biennially by election by all committee members after the Ordinary Local Government Election.
- 5.4 The members, taken collectively, will have a broad range of skills and experience relevant to the operations of the Town. At least one member of the committee will have accounting or related financial management experience with an understanding of accounting and auditing standards in a public sector environment.
- 5.5 Independent members will be appointed until the next Ordinary Local Government Election.
- 5.6 A quorum will be a minimum of 50% of the membership.
- 5.7 Audit, Risk and Governance Committee members are required by the Local Government Act and Code of Conduct in observing the requirements of declaring any proximity, financial or impartiality interests that relate to any matter to be considered at each meeting.
- 5.8 New members will receive relevant information and briefings on their appointment to assist them to meet their committee responsibilities.

6. Meetings

- 6.1 Meetings may be called by the Presiding Member of the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee, or at the request of the Mayor or Chief Executive Officer.
- 6.2 The Audit, Risk and Governance Committee meetings are generally open to the public unless the Presiding Member or Chief Executive Officer deem it necessary to proceed behind closed doors pursuant to Section 5.23 of the Local Government Act 1995.

- 6.3 All Elected Members are invited to attend each Audit, Risk and Governance Committee meeting.
- 6.4 All Audit, Risk and Governance Committee members are expected to attend each meeting in person.
- 6.5 The Chief Executive Officer will facilitate the meetings of the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee and invite members of management, internal and external auditors or others to attend meetings as observers and to provide pertinent information, as necessary.
- 6.6 The Audit, Risk and Governance Committee will develop a forward meeting schedule that includes the dates, location, and proposed work plan for each meeting for the forthcoming year, that cover all the responsibilities outlined in this terms of reference.
- 6.7 Meeting agendas will be prepared and provided at least one week in advance to members, along with appropriate briefing materials.
- 6.8 Minutes will be taken at each meeting and presented to the subsequent meeting for confirmation.

7. Responsibilities

The Audit, Risk and Governance Committee will carry out the following responsibilities:

- 7.1 Risk management
 - 7.1.1 Review and suggest improvements to whether management has in place a current and comprehensive enterprise risk management framework and associated procedures for effective identification and management of the Town's business and financial risks, including fraud.
 - 7.1.2 Determine whether a sound and effective approach has been followed in managing the Town's major risks including those associated with individual projects, program implementation, and activities.
 - 7.1.3 Assess the impact of the Town's enterprise risk management framework on its control environment and insurance arrangements.
 - 7.1.4 Review and suggest improvements to the process of developing and implementing the Town's fraud control arrangements and satisfy itself the Town has appropriate processes and systems in place to detect, capture and effectively respond to fraud-related information.
- 7.2 Business continuity
 - 7.2.1 Determine whether a sound and effective approach has been followed in establishing the Town's business continuity planning arrangements, including whether business continuity and disaster recovery plans have been periodically updated and tested.

7.3 Internal Control

- 7.3.1 Review and suggest improvements to whether management's approach to maintaining an effective internal control framework is sound and effective.
- 7.3.2 Review and suggest improvements to whether management has in place relevant policies and procedures and that they are periodically reviewed and updated.
- 7.3.3 Determine whether the appropriate processes are in place to assess, at least once a year, whether key policies and procedures are complied with.
- 7.3.4 Review and suggest improvements to whether appropriate policies and supporting procedures are in place for the management and exercise of delegations.
- 7.3.5 Consider how management identifies any required changes to the design or implementation of key internal controls.

7.4 Financial Report

- 7.4.1 Review and suggest improvements to significant accounting and reporting issues, including complex or unusual transactions and highly judgemental areas, and recent accounting, professional and regulatory pronouncements and legislative changes, and understand their effect on the financial report.
- 7.4.2 Review with management and the external auditors the results of the audit, including any difficulties encountered, and suggest improvements if required.
- 7.4.3 Review and suggest improvements to the annual financial report and performance report of the Town of Port Hedland and its subsidiaries, and consider whether it is complete, consistent with information known to Audit, Risk and Governance Committee members, and reflects appropriate accounting principles.
- 7.4.4 Review and suggest improvements to the process for the consolidation of financial information of the Town related entities into the financial reports of the Town.
- 7.4.5 Review with management and the external auditors all matters required to be communicated to the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee under the Australian Auditing Standards, and suggest improvements if required..
- 7.4.6 Review and suggest improvements to the draft Annual Financial Statements and recommend the adoption of the Annual Financial Statements to Council.
- 7.4.7 Receive the quarterly budget review.

7.5 Compliance

7.5.1 Review and suggest improvements to the systems and processes to monitor effectiveness of the system for monitoring compliance with legislation and regulations and the results of management's investigation and follow-up (including disciplinary action) of any instances of non-compliance.

AUDIT, RISK & GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES 5 SEPTEMBER 2017

- 7.5.2 Keep informed of the findings of any examinations by regulatory agencies and any auditor (internal or external) observations and monitor management's response to these findings.
- 7.5.3 Obtain regular updates from management about compliance matters.
- 7.5.4 Review and suggest improvements to the annual Compliance Audit Return and report to the Council the results of the review.
- 7.6 Internal Audit
 - 7.6.1 Review with management and the internal auditor the terms of reference, activities and resourcing of the internal audit function and suggest improvements if required.
 - 7.6.2 Review, suggest improvements to and recommend the annual internal audit plan for approval by the Council and all major changes to the plan.
 - 7.6.3 Monitor that the internal auditor's annual plan is linked with and covers the material business risks.
 - 7.6.4 Monitor processes and practices to ensure that the independence of the audit function is maintained.
 - 7.6.5 Annually review the performance of the internal audit including the level of satisfaction with internal audit function having consideration of the Institute of Internal Auditors' International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing and suggest improvements if required..
 - 7.6.6 Review and suggest improvements to all audit reports and provide advice to the Council on significant issues identified in audit reports and action to be taken on issues raised, including identification and dissemination of good practice.
 - 7.6.7 Monitor management's implementation of internal audit recommendations.
- 7.7 External Audit
 - 7.7.1 Review and suggest improvements to the external auditor's proposed audit scope and approach for financial performance audits, including any reliance on internal auditor activity.
 - 7.7.2 Consider the findings and recommendations of relevant Performance Audits undertaken by the external auditor and ensure the Town implements relevant recommendations.
 - 7.7.3 Provide an opportunity for the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee to meet with the external auditors to discuss any matters that the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee or the external auditors believe should be discussed privately.
 - 7.7.4 Annually review and suggest improvements to the performance of external audit including the level of satisfaction with external audit function.
 - 7.7.5 Monitor management's implementation of external audit recommendations.

7.8 Reporting Responsibilities

- 7.8.1 Report regularly to the Council about Audit, Risk and Governance Committee activities, issues, and related recommendations through circulation of minutes.
- 7.8.2 Monitor that open communication between the internal auditor, the external auditors, and the Town's management occurs.
- 7.9 Other Responsibilities
 - 7.9.1 Perform other activities related to this terms of reference as requested by the Council.
 - 7.9.2 Annually review, suggest improvements to and assess the adequacy of the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee terms of reference, request Council approval for proposed changes, and ensure appropriate disclosure as might be required by legislation or regulation.

(Adopted by Council at its Ordinary Meeting held 16 November 2011. Amended by Council at its Ordinary Meeting held 23 October 2013. Amended by Council at its Ordinary Meeting held on 11 December 2013. Amended by Council at its Ordinary Meeting held on 27 May 2015. Amended by Council at its Ordinary Meeting held on 28 October 2015. Amended by Council at its Ordinary Meeting held on 22 June 2016 Amended by Council at its Ordinary Meeting held on 24 May 2017.) AUDIT, RISK & GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

Item 1	Opening of Meeting10			
Item 2	Acknowledgement of Traditional Owners10			10
Item 3	Recording of Attendance			10
	3.1	Attend	ance	10
	3.2	Apolog	gies	10
	3.3	Approv	ved Leave of Absence	10
	3.4		sure of Interests	
Item 4	Res	ponse f	o Previous Questions	11
	4.1		ons taken on notice from Public at Audit, Risk and Governance ittee Meeting held on Tuesday 4 July 2017	11
	4.2		ons taken on notice from Committee Members at Audit, Risk and nance Committee Meeting held on Tuesday 4 July 2017	11
		4.2.1	Councillor Troy Melville	11
		4.2.2	Councillor Louise Newbery	11
Item 5	Арр	lication	is for Leave of Absence	11
ltem 6	Atte	ndance	by Telephone/Instantaneous Communications	11
ltem 7	Pub	lic Time	9	12
	7.1	Public	Question Time	12
	7.2	Public	Statement Time	12
	7.3	Petitio	ns/Deputations/Presentations/Submissions	12
Item 8	Que		from Members without Notice	
		8.1	Ms Nina Pangahas	13
		8.2	Mr Ron Attwood	16
		8.3	Councillor Richard Whitwell	19
Item 9			is of All Members to Have Given Due Consideration to All Matte	
	Con	tained	in the Business Paper before the Meeting	19
Item 10	Con	firmatio	on of Minutes of Previous Meeting	19
	10.1		mation of Minutes of the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Me n Tuesday 4 July 2017	•
Item 11	Ann	ouncer	nents by Presiding Member without Discussion	20
Item 12	Rep	orts of	Officers	21
	12.1	Corpo	rate and Performance	21
		12.1.1	Quarterly Better Practice Review Update	21
			Bi-monthly Status Reports of the Audit, Risk and Governance	_
		Comm	ittee	26
		12.1.3	Funding and Donations for the period of 1 July to 30 June 2017	32

	12.1.4 Adoption of the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Work	
	2017/18	
	12.1.5 Outcome of Paxon Group Audits	40
ARG2017	18/040 AUDIT, RISK AND GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE DECISION	40
MOVED:	CR TAVO SECONDED: MS PANGAHAS	40
Item 13	Motions of Which Previous Notice Has Been Given	46
Item 14	New Business of an Urgent Nature	46
Item 15	Matters for Which Meeting May Be Closed (Confidential Matters)	
Item 16	Closure	
	16.1 Date of Next Meeting	46
	16.2 Closure	46

Item 1 Opening of Meeting

The Presiding Member declared the meeting open at 5:31pm.

Item 2 Acknowledgement of Traditional Owners

The Presiding Member acknowledges the traditional custodians, the Kariyarra people, and recognises the contribution of Kariyarra Elders past, present and future, in working together for the future of Port Hedland.

Item 3 Recording of Attendance

3.1 Attendance

Committee Members: Mayor Camilo Blanco – Presiding Member Councillor Julie Arif Councillor Louise Newbery Councillor Richard Whitwell Councillor Lincoln Tavo

Community Members: Ms Nina Pangahas Mr Ron Attwood – Deputy Presiding Member

Robert Leeds	Acting Chief Executive Officer
Josephine Bianchi	Manager Governance
Tammy Wombwell	Governance Support Officer/ Minute Taker

Public	0
Media	0
Officers	1

3.2 Apologies

Councillor Troy Melville

3.3 Approved Leave of Absence

Councillor Jan Gillingham Councillor David Hooper

3.4 Disclosure of Interests

Nil

Item 4 Response to Previous Questions

4.1 Questions taken on notice from Public at Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Meeting held on Tuesday 4 July 2017

Nil

4.2 Questions taken on notice from Committee Members at Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Meeting held on Tuesday 4 July 2017

4.2.1 Councillor Troy Melville

In regards to attachment 1 to item 12.1.1 'ARG Committee – Outstanding Decisions', part 2 of the outcome regarding the Wedge Street observation tower refers to having it removed. How much is this removal going to cost, and is there a cost to have the tower repaired?

The Director Infrastructure and Town Services advised that the approximate refurbishment cost is \$180,000 as noted on page 34 of the attachment provided with the agenda item.

4.2.2 Councillor Louise Newbery

In regards to attachment 3 to item 12.1.1 'Risk Register', row 3 regarding the South Hedland Bowling and Tennis Club self-supporting loan, in the officer's status update, it was noted that in March that future payments will be closely monitored. What loan payments have been received from the Bowling and Tennis Club since that update in March?

The Acting Manager Financial Services advised that the club were unable to meet the commitment to repay the self-funded loan and that an extension to the repayments was given by Council. The Acting Manager Financial Services also advised that all outstanding payments have been received and that this item will remain on the risk register for monitoring.

Item 5 Applications for Leave of Absence

Nil

Item 6 Attendance by Telephone/Instantaneous Communications

Nil

Item 7 Public Time

Important note:

'This meeting is being recorded on audio tape as an additional record of the meeting and to assist with minute-taking purposes which may be released upon request to third parties. If you do not give permission for recording your participation please indicate this at the meeting. The public is reminded that in accordance with Section 6.16 of the Town of Port Hedland Local Law on Standing Orders nobody shall use any visual or vocal electronic device or instrument to record the proceedings of any meeting unless that person has been given permission by the presiding member to do so. Members of the public are also reminded that in accordance with section 6.17(4) of the Town of Port Hedland Local Law on Standing Orders mobile telephones must be switched off and not used during the meeting.'

In accordance with section 6.7(3) of the Town of Port Hedland Local Law on Standing Orders, members of the public are required to complete a question form and place the completed form in the tray provided.

The Presiding Member opened Public Question Time at 5:34pm

7.1 Public Question Time

Nil

The Presiding Member closed Public Question Time at 5:34pm

The Presiding Member opened Public Statement Time at 5:34pm

7.2 Public Statement Time

Nil

The Presiding Member closed Public Statement Time at 5:35pm

7.3 Petitions/Deputations/Presentations/Submissions

Nil

Item 8 Questions from Members without Notice

8.1 Ms Nina Pangahas

In regards to item 12.1.1, on page 17 of the agenda, the first item in the table which states the following; 'implement an internal audit function'. The officer's status update states that the role has not been carried out in its entirety due to an internal secondment which is still current. What functions are not carried out, and when and how will these functions be delivered?

The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that basic internal audit functions are being conducted by the officer whilst she is acting in another role and that the elements which are not being conducted are the minor elements, or project based work of which the Town are looking at fulfilling with external assistance. The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that some of the minor elements may also be left until the officer reverts back into the role of Internal Audit Officer.

I refer to the second item in the table on page 18 of the agenda. The officer's status update states that a community survey is to be undertaken based on Strategic Community Plan indicators. When will the community survey be undertaken, and at what cost?

The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that the Strategic Community Plan (SCP) is currently being reviewed and that the Town is about to commence the community consultation phase, which is expected to be delivered early in 2018. The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that his view would be that the community survey around the SCP indicators would be subsequent to that process, so that a base line is known before the SCP is implemented. The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that any costs incurred would be for the administration of the survey and for processing the data.

On line 65 of attachment 1 to item 12.1.1 which relates to policies, it shows that it is 50% complete. Have you reviewed the procedures relating to recruitment and selection, and can you provide a list of Internal Operating Procedures (IOP's) that have been revised and the nature of the changes made?

The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that IOP's are reviewed by the relevant business unit that carries out the procedure and that the term 'policies' noted in the attachment refers to policies of Council. The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that there are two levels of policies within the organisation, the first being policies of Council, which are dealt with centrally through the Governance team, and the second being IOP's, which are dealt with at the departmental level by the officers who implement tasks from day to day. The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that officers must comply with the policies.

In the outcome column it mentions 'IOP's'. Does the 50% complete mainly apply to the policy?

The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that as policies are reviewed and revised, the IOP's that fall under them also have to be revised at the departmental level to ensure that they are still in line with the new policy that has been adopted or amended.

Can you confirm that the Town does not have a policy on recruitment and selection?

The Acting Manager Financial Services advised that the Town has an IOP relating to recruitment and selection.

The Presiding Member advised that it is up to the ARG Committee to put forward and suggest to the administration if it would like to implement a policy into the Town's policy manual.

The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that the reason that there is no specific policy on recruitment and selection is because the Town has been operating under the Public Sector Commission's general policy. The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that it does not mean that the Town cannot have its own policy and that if the Committee or Council request that a policy be created, the Town can introduce one.

On Line 188 of attachment 1 to item 12.1.1 regarding the code of conduct, I note that it is 100% complete. Does this mean all staff, Committee and Council Members have submitted a signed copy of the code of conduct?

The Manager Governance advised that following the adoption of the code of conduct last year, the code of conduct was issued to all Elected Members, Committee Members, staff and contractors. The Manager Governance advised that there were a series of inductions which were conducted via an electronic platform that the Town uses, and that the code of conduct at the moment also has a page that requires signing at the back of it. The Manager Governance advised that should have been followed as we work through our internal audit process will be to look at and verify that every copy has been returned.

The Acting Manager Financial Services advised that staff use the Town's internal training system called Elmo and that it is compulsory for staff to complete the code of conduct on this system. The Acting Manager Financial Services advised that at the end of the training module staff must confirm that they have read and understood the content of the code of conduct.

Does this training form part of staff inductions?

The Acting Manager Financial Services advised that it is normally part of the induction process, but that when the code of conduct was updated last year, all Town staff undertook the code of conduct training module again.

Can you confirm that everyone has signed them?

The Acting Manager Financial Services advised that the Town has a record of who has completed the modules on Elmo and that the Human Resources department receive notification of any staff who have not completed the module. The Acting Manager Financial Services advised that the Human Resources team then follow up on the outstanding staff members.

The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that he believes with high confidence that all staff that have passed their probation period would have completed the code of conduct training module.

Has the Town compiled, analysed and reported to the Council the outcomes of the staff survey noted on line 190 of attachment 1 to item 12.1.1?

The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that he was not sure of the outcomes of the survey.

The Acting Manager Financial Services advised that the outcomes were reported to the Executive team and not to Council. The Acting Manager Financial Services advised that the Town's ZLT Consultative Committee received the action items from the Executive team and that the action items identified were workshopped and re-presented to the Executive team for implementation.

Are the issues that came out of that survey captured somewhere?

The Acting Manager Financial Services advised in the negative.

I think it would be a form of assurance for staff and could be used for benchmarking. It would be good to create a register.

The Mayor asked Ms Pangahas if she was making a request, or if she was making a comment.

It is just a comment, which could be worth considering as a form of assurance.

The outcome on line 192 of attachment 1 to item 12.1.1 states 'ensure an auditor is appointed in compliance with legislative requirements' and marked as 100% complete. How does it impact legal compliance if the position is partially appointed?

The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that this line refers to the appointment of an external auditor, not the Internal Audit Officer.

I note that line 200 of attachment 1 to item 12.1.1 was completed on 16 September 2016. Is the IOP on recruitment and selection the version that is currently in place? I assume that this was not the IOP version that was used by the Paxon Group during their audit as their review was undertaken before September 2016. Is that correct?

The Acting CEO advised that the question would be taken on notice.

I refer to page 35 of the agenda regarding the outcome of the Paxon Group audits. This report shows a number of breaches. How much did it cost the Town and does the cost include the payments made to the former CEO, Acting CEO and other senior management who left the organisation?

The Mayor advised that the cost was around \$26,000 as per a Council Decision and that the cost did not include any other payments.

The Acting Chief Executive Officer asked Ms Pangahas to clarify the elements she is referring to in her question.

The Paxon Group report noted a number of breaches in relation to redundancy payments, how much did it cost the Town? And does the cost include the payments made to the former CEO, Acting CEO and other members of the senior management?

The Mayor advised that he was quite sure that the resolution of Council for these audits to be conducted was to cost \$26,000.

The Acting Chief Executive Officer asked Ms Pangahas to clarify whether she referring to the amount that the officers were paid over what they were entitled to; or whether she was referring to the amount that they were paid in total.

How much money has it cost the Town as a consequence of these breaches?

The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that the question would be taken on notice. The Acting Chief Executive Officer also advised that the figures mentioned in the reports are not specifically related to the breach and that officers would have to separate the costs.

In relation to the Paxon Group audit, have there been further breaches since this audit was commissioned? Have all positions been advertised? Have people declared conflicts of interest as per section 7 of the recruitment and selection IOP? And, can you confirm that a shortlisting matrix for each selection event has been completed?

The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that shortlisting matrixes are required to be completed for each applicant to proceed to interviews and that they are being completed for every recruitment that is occurring. The Acting Chief Executive Officer asked Ms Pangahas to repeat her other questions.

Are you aware of any further breaches since this audit was first commissioned?

The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that he is not aware of any further breaches.

Have all positions been advertised?

The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that he believed that all positions had been advertised and that he also believed that the current IOP allows for direct appointment in certain circumstances along with certain documentation. The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that the Town is in line with its current IOP, and that the IOP that was breached as noted in the Paxon Group report was an older version.

Have any members of recruitment panels declared a conflict of interest?

The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that each complaint that has been received regarding a conflict of interest has had a form completed and that the appropriate approvals have been undertaken. The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that there is a procedure in place where panel members declare if a conflict exists prior to the selection process and that some members have excused themselves from the panel because they have known an applicant. The Acting Chief Executive Officer also advised that some panel members have been allowed to remain on a panel if the applicant is just somebody that they've worked with before. The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that for all instances the relevant paperwork has been lodged.

Is there evidence to support your statement?

The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised in the affirmative.

8.2 Mr Ron Attwood

Do I ask my questions now or when the agenda item is discussed?

The Presiding Member advised that Mr Attwood is to ask his questions during Question Time.

In regards to attachment 5 of agenda item 12.1.5, which is in my folder as a confidential attachment, is this attachment in the copies of the public agenda?

The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that it should not be.

The Presiding Member advised that there is an attachment for item 12.1.5 which is not confidential and that it looks similar.

The Manager Governance advised that the item regarding the Paxon audit has one attachment relating to the Airport which has been made public and that the watermark which states 'private and confidential' should have been removed in this instance. The Manager Governance advised that the information contained in the attachment was not deemed as confidential in nature and that the other remaining attachments contained confidential information either relating to Human Resources, commercial in confidence or businesses.

On page 17 of this agenda, it refers to the local heritage inventory. It says the Heritage of Western Australia Act. Does this local heritage inventory include the Aboriginal Heritage Act?

The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that the local heritage inventory did not include the *Aboriginal Heritage Act* as the inventory was just for physical buildings and landmarks. The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that the *Aboriginal Heritage Act* and its related legislation are dealt with through State Government legislation which is much stronger and offers much more protection than the local heritage inventory.

This Council has in the past changed the concept of the Native Title Act.

The Presiding Member asked Mr Attwood if his last comment was a question.

It is a question, the Native Title Act and the Heritage of Western Australia Act are two separate things and I'm just questioning if you've taken into consideration the Aboriginal heritage sites in Port Hedland.

The Presiding Member advised that Council would have taken them into consideration.

Why is there no completion date on line 190 of attachment 1 to item 12.1.1?

The Acting Manager Financial Services advised that the completion date might not have been known at the time.

The Presiding Member advised that the question would be taken on notice.

The Acting Manager Financial Services advised that at the time this item was reviewed the Manager of Human Resources had already left the organisation and that it was an oversight.

On attachment 3 of item 12.1.2 regarding Port Haven. How much will the Town miss out on with regard to this lease?

The Presiding Member advised that there has not been an application put forward regarding the Port Haven lease.

The Acting Chief Executive Officer asked Mr Attwood if he was referring to the income from rates for the property.

Mr Attwood advised in the affirmative.

The Acting Manager Financial Services advised that because the property is on airport land the Town will still receive rates regardless of what happens. The Acting Manager Financial Services advised that if the lease is discontinued and the property is used for other purposes then the Town will still receive rates for the property.

Will you put that in writing?

The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that he would tend to disagree with the Acting Manager Financial Services comment, as the rates that are currently paid are significantly higher than what they would be if the property was used for another purpose. The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that he believed the rates that Port Haven pay are around \$800K or \$900K and that if the property was changed to another use, the rates yield would be significantly lower.

It's a huge problem.

The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised it is a very difficult matter and that there are certainly reputational issues as a lot of the community have very strong views about fly in fly out camps. The Acting Chief Executive officer advised that there could be a financial impact from the rates and that there is a possibility that the camp could be moved onto State agreement land which would have an impact on the Town's rate base because the Town cannot apply rates to State agreement land. The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that there are a lot of significant risks for the Council which is why the risk rating is so high.

Will the answers to my questions will be recorded in the minutes of the next meeting? The Presiding member advised that Mr Attwood's questions have been answered. The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that only questions taken on notice are printed in the agenda for the next meeting.

Ms Pangahas advised Mr Attwood that the meeting is audio recorded.

Can you advise what year the Internal Audit Plan noted in line 9 of attachment 1 to item 12.1.4 refers to? Is it the 2017/18 financial year?

The Manager Governance advised that it should state 2017/18.

It states it will be considered in August 2018 which is a year away.

The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that the internal audit plan for the 2017/18 financial year has already been prepared. The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that this attachment shows that in August 2018, the ARG Committee will need to address what is going to be audited in the coming financial year.

As an ARG Committee member I want an internal auditor to be appointed. I think the items that are highlighted in the confidential reports are being missed by this Council, and an internal auditor could have picked up on a lot of these items. The reports highlighted what the problems are in this organisation, and I think that an internal auditor, who works in conjunction with the ARG Committee, can prevent things such as this from happening.

The Presiding Member asked the Acting Chief Executive Officer which auditors the ARG Committee deals with.

The Acting Chief Executive Office advised the Presiding Member that the ARG Committee deals with both the internal and external auditors.

8.3 Councillor Richard Whitwell

Councillor Whitwell asked a question without notice that related to a confidential matter under section 5.23(2)(a) of the Local Government Act 1995. This question has been omitted from the minutes.

What were the circumstances of the appointment of the Executive Officer?

The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that the circumstances may be difficult to obtain as many of the relevant staff members may not be employed by the Town anymore. The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that if any details are obtained, the information will be provided to Councillor Whitwell.

Item 9 Declarations of All Members to Have Given Due Consideration to All Matters Contained in the Business Paper before the Meeting

The following Committee Members declared that they had given due consideration to all matters contained in the agenda:

- Mayor Camilo Blanco
- Councillor Julie Arif
- Councillor Louise Newbery
- Councillor Richard Whitwell
- Councillor Lincoln Tavo
- Ms Nina Pangahas
- Mr Ron Attwood

Item 10 Confirmation of Minutes of Previous Meeting

10.1 Confirmation of Minutes of the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Meeting held on Tuesday 4 July 2017

ARG201718/035 OFFICER RECOMMENDATION/ AUDIT, RISK AND GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE DECISION

MOVED: MS PANGAHAS

SECONDED: CR ARIF

That the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee confirm that the Minutes of the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Meeting held on Tuesday 4 July 2017 are a true and correct record.

CARRIED 7/0

Item 11 Announcements by Presiding Member without Discussion

Nil

Disclaimer

Members of the public are cautioned against taking any action on Committee decisions, on items on this evening's Agenda in which they may have an interest, until formal notification in writing by the Town has been received. Decisions made at this meeting can be revoked, pursuant to the Local Government Act 1995.

Item 12 Reports of Officers

12.1 Corporate and Performance

12.1.1 Quarterly Better Practice Review Update

File No:	12/14/0002
Applicant/ Proponent:	N/A
Subject Land/ Locality:	N/A
Date:	30 August 2017
Author:	Tammy Wombwell, Governance Support Officer
Authorising Officer:	Robert Leeds, Director Development, Sustainability and Lifestyle
Disclosure of Interest from Author:	Nil
Authority/Discretion:	□ Advocacy
	Legislative
	🗆 Quasi-
	☑ Information Purposes

Attachments:

1. Better Practice Review extract from the Business Improvement Plan Register (under separate cover)

ARG201718/036 OFFICER RECOMMENDATION/ AUDIT, RISK AND GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE DECISION

MOVED: CR ARIF

SECONDED: CR TAVO

That with respect to the Better Practice Review conducted by the Department of Local Government during 2016, Council:

- 1. Note the Better Practice Review extract from the Town's Business Improvement Plan Register.
- 2. Note the implementation progress of the identified Better Practice items.

CARRIED 7/0

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Department of Local Government conducted a Better Practice Review of the Town of Port Hedland on 22 June 2016. A report was issued outlining better practice improvements for the Town. Implementation of these suggested better practice items will reduce the risk related to legislative non-compliance.

DETAIL

During the Better Practice Review, the Department of Local Government identified thirty one (31) items for improvement. To date, seventeen (17) items have been marked as complete.

Of the remaining outstanding items, nine (9) have been identified as high priority and five (5) as medium priority. Six (6) of these items relate to the Strategic Community Plan, which is currently under review, and any actions or updates will be reliant upon adoption of the SCP in early 2018.

An outline of the remaining high priority items are noted below:

	Officers Status Update	Category	Priority
functionality	Internal audit function endorsed by the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee at its March 2016 meeting. Position descriptions are being developed and should be advertised by the end of November 2016. Risk and Internal Audit Officer appointed in February 2017. The role has not been carried out in its entirety due to and internal secondment which is still current.	Management	High
processes, procedures and documentation in respect to the		Sundry	High
the Town's website, ensuring that current initiatives are promoted through this and other	underway with initial content to be	Sundry	High
inventory in line with requirements of the Heritage of WA Act 1990.	Draft provided to Historical Society for review. Amendments requested. Ongoing meetings continue with Historical Society to work through the document. Review completed May 16, Engagement and Consultation regarding inventory ended Dec 16, Submission report for New heritage Inventory 2017 in process of draft, Submission date to Council estimated as 22/3/17, adoption of inventory expected at the July 2017 OCM.	Sundry	High
	Council adopted its new heritage inventory list at its July 2017 OCM as per officer's recommendation. The document is currently being		

5 SEPTEMBER 2017

	finalised following Council		
	adoption.		
	Project plan for SCP review being		High
	prepared. Expected review from		
Community plan in line with	Oct 2017 – March 2018	Plan	
legislative requirements and			
best practice recommendations as outlined in the Act,			
as outlined in the Act, associated regulations, IPR			
Guidelines and IPR Advisory			
Standard.			
	Community survey to be	Strategic	High
measure, and report on, the		Community	
	indicators	Plan	
progress, in respect to			
achieving its strategic objectives			
(and by extension, the			
community's priorities) as			
outlined by the Strategic			
Community Plan's success indicators.			
	Council endorsed updated CBP at	Strategic	High
	March OCM. Full review to be		i ngi i
		Plan	
and best practice			
recommendations as outlined in			
the Act, associated regulations,			
IPR Guidelines and IPR			
Advisory Standard.		Otratasi	Liste
0	Council endorsed updated CBP at	0	High
• •	March OCM. Full review to be conducted in line with SCP review	Plan	
development of an evolving and			
rolling four-year plan, with the			
current financial year as the			
base year and one which is			
clearly linked to the current			
year's annual budget.			
	Plan being drafted to capture		High
	existing Town of Port Hedland		
development plan to support the		Plan	
local economy.	identified in Regional Blueprint		

Previous Decisions

The Audit, Risk and Governance (ARG) Committee receive an update on the Town's Better Practice Review and the progress that the Town has made in regards to achieving these goals. These updates were to be provided the Committee on a quarterly basis, but since the ARG Committee meetings have now been amended to occur bi-monthly, however, the updates have been presented to the Committee at each meeting, ensuring that the requirement for providing the Committee with the updates is not breached.

The ARG Committee has been provided an update of the Better Practice review at the following Committee meetings:

- August 2016
- May 2017
- July 2017

Engagement and Communications

All communication has been conducted internally, with all relevant officers being consulted on the status of each outstanding item.

LEGISLATION AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The Local Government Act 1995 sets out the Audit committee objectives in Guideline 09; Audit in Local Government. One of the objectives is to, in liaison with the Chief Executive Officer, ensure the effective and efficient management of the Town's financial systems and compliance with legislation. This includes the use of better practice guidelines relative to audit, risk management, internal control and legislative compliance.

The Risk Management Policy no. 1/022, outlines the Town's commitment to consider risk management as an essential management function in the Town's functioning and operations. Implementing best practice in the Town is part of the ongoing risk management of the Town. By implementing better practices, this reduces risk levels and contributes towards effective and efficient management of the Town's financial systems and compliance with legislation.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no financial implications related to receiving the better practice extract.

STRATEGIC AND RISK IMPLICATIONS

Environmental

There are no significant identifiable environmental impacts arising from adoption of the officer's recommendation.

Economic

There are no significant identifiable economic impacts arising from adoption of the officer's recommendation.

Social

There are no significant identifiable social impacts arising from adoption of the officer's recommendation.

Financial

There are no significant identifiable financial impacts arising from adoption of the officer's recommendation.

Risk

There is a risk rating of Low (3) assigned to the risk that Best Practice not implemented, and can result in a legislative breach.

OPTIONS

Option 1 - Adopt Officer's Recommendation

Option 2 – Do not adopt Officer's Recommendation

CONCLUSION

During the Better Practice Review, the Department of Local Government identified a list of Better Practice improvements for the Town. This list was accepted by the Town and officers have been steadily working towards implementation of these best practices.

These improvements will reduce the risk of legislative non-compliance and provide the assurance to the CEO that risk has been mitigated in the areas identified during the review.

12.1.2 Bi-monthly Status Reports of the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee

File No:	12/14/0002
Applicant/ Proponent:	N/A
Subject Land/ Locality:	N/A
Date:	21 August 2017
Author:	Tammy Wombwell, Governance Support Officer
Authorising Officer:	Robert Leeds, Director Development, Sustainability and Lifestyle
Disclosure of Interest from Author:	Nil
Authority/Discretion:	□ Advocacy
	Executive
	Legislative
	🗆 Quasi-Judicial
	☑ Information Purposes

Attachments:

- 1. Bi-monthly Status of Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Decisions (Under separate cover)
- 2. Bi-monthly Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Decision Completion Report (Under separate cover)
- 3. Bi-monthly Register of Risk Ratings derived from Council Decisions (Under separate cover)

ARG201718/037 OFFICER RECOMMENDATION/ AUDIT, RISK AND GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE DECISION

MOVED: CR WHITWELL

SECONDED: CR ARIF

That the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee receive the following reports:

- 1. Bi-monthly Status of Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Decisions, as at 29 August 2017;
- 2. Bi-monthly Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Decision Completion Report, as at 29 August 2017; and
- 3. Bi-monthly Register of Risk Ratings derived from Council Decisions as at 29 August 2017.

CARRIED 7/0

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to provide the Audit, Risk and Governance (ARG) Committee with a bi-monthly status of all outstanding ARG Committee decisions, all completed ARG Committee decisions, and the current register of risk rating that have been derived from Council Decisions showing active risks with a rating of ten (10) or over within the stated period.

DETAIL

At the ARG Committee meeting held on 7 June 2016, it was requested by a Community Member that the ARG Committee receive a 'Status Report' of items that have been endorsed by the ARG Committee to Council. The Chief Executive Officer at the time confirmed that status reports would be presented to the Committee in each subsequent agenda as an information only item.

The Town has further expanded on this requested report, and provides the register of risk ratings derived from Council decisions to the Committee in addition to the initial request.

Status of ARG Committee Decisions Report

Currently, there is one ARG Committee decision that is outstanding, which is noted in attachment 1. This item is considered ongoing whilst Town officers review options in relation to the removal of the Observation Tower.

Completed Decisions Report

This report outlines all ARG Committee Decisions that have been marked as 'Complete' by officers in the last ninety (90) days. Currently, there have been six (6) ARG Committee decisions marked complete in this period, these include:

Original Meeting Date	Item Title	Comment
02/05/2017	12.1.2 Audit Findings report	The ARG Committee endorsed the external audit findings, and the report was considered at the May 2017 Ordinary Council Meeting. Council endorsed the report, and it was sent to the Minister of Local Government on 9 June 2017. No further action is required and the item has been marked as complete.
04/07/2017	12.1.1 Bi-monthly Status Reports of the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee	The Bi-Monthly reports were presented to the ARG Committee and carried unanimously. No further action is required, and therefore the item has been marked as complete.
04/07/2017	12.1.2 Quarterly Better Practice Review Update	The Committee received the report on the Quarterly Better Practice Review. No further action is required, and the item has been marked as complete.
04/07/2017	12.1.3 Funding and Donations for the period of 1 April to 30 June 2017	This item was also for noting only. The ARG Committee carried the recommendation unanimously. No further action is required, and the item has been marked as complete.
04/07/2017	10.1 Confirmation of Minutes of the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Meeting held on Tuesday 2 May 2017	The ARG Committee carried the recommendation to confirm the Minutes, the Minutes were printed and signed by the Mayor. No further action is required, and the item has been marked as complete.

AUDIT, RISK & GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES 5 SEPTEMBER 2017

10/06/2015 11.1.1 Town of Hedland Leisur Facilities Manay Contract - Revie 2015	e outstanding for some time. The remaining ement outstanding point was as follows:
---	--

Register of risk ratings derived from Council decisions

This register is being used to monitor risk ratings of items that were presented to Council with a risk rating of 10 and higher. Currently any items of an ongoing nature are also listed on the register and are being monitored by Town officers.

It is to be noted that once any of these risks have been mitigated, the relevant Town Officer assigns a residual risk rating to the item, and the residual risk is subject to ongoing monitoring.

This register currently has six (6) active items listed with a risk rating over 10. The responsible officer for each of these risks has provided an update on these risks and these are noted in attachment 3.

Previous Decisions

These monthly status reports were first presented to the ARG Committee on 9 August 2016 and have been a recurring item at every meeting since. Below is a schedule of the decisions that were made by the ARG Committee regarding these items:

Date of Meeting	Recommendation	Note
09/08/2016	 That the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee receive the following status reports: 1. Quarterly Better Practice Review Status Update, as at 5 August 2016; 2. Monthly Status of Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Decisions, as at 5 August 2016; and 3. Monthly Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Decision Completion Report, as at 5 August 2016. 	Moved by Ms Pangahas, seconded by Councillor Blanco (his position at the time) and carried unanimously 5/0.
06/09/2016	 That the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee receive the following reports: 1. Monthly Status of Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Decisions, as at 31 August 2016; 2. Monthly Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Decision Completion Report, as at 31 August 2016; and 3. Monthly Risk Register Report as at 31 August 2016. 	Moved by Cr Whitwell, seconded by Councillor Melville and carried 5/1.

08/11/2016	 That the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee receive the following reports: 1. Monthly Status of Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Decisions, as at 31 October 2016; 2. Monthly Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Decision Completion Report, as at 	Moved by Councillor Whitwell, seconded by Mayor Blanco and carried 3/2.
	31 October 2016; and3. Monthly Risk Register Report as at 31 October 2016.	
06/12/2016	 That the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee receive the following reports: 1. Monthly Status of Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Decisions, as at 28 November 2016; 2. Monthly Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Decision Completion Report, as at 	Motion lost 2/4 to receive the reports.
	28 November 2016; and3. Monthly Risk Register Report as at 29 November 2016.	
07/02/2017	 That the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee receive the following reports: 1. Monthly Status of Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Decisions, as at 27 January 2017; 2. Monthly Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Decision Completion Report, as at 27 January 2017; and 3. Monthly Risk Register Report as at 27 January 2017. 	seconded Councillor
07/03/2017	 That the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee receive the following reports: 1. Monthly Status of Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Decisions, as at 24 February 2017; 2. Monthly Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Decision Completion Report, as at 24 February 2017; and 3. Monthly Risk Register Report as at 24 February 2017. 	seconded Councillor
02/05/2017	 That the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee receive the following reports: 1. Monthly Status of Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Decisions, as at 24 April 2017; 2. Monthly Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Decision Completion Report, as at 24 April 2017; and 3. Monthly Risk Register Report as at 24 April 2017. 	Moved Councillor Newbery, seconded Mayor Blanco and carried 3/2 by the Mayors casting vote.
04/07/2017	 That the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee accept the following reports: 1. Bi-monthly Status of Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Decisions, as at 21 June 2017; 2. Bi-monthly Audit, Risk and Governance 	Moved Councillor Melville, seconded Councillor Whitwell and carried 6/0.

	Committee Decision Completion Report, as at 21 June 2017; and	
3.	. Bi-monthly Risk Register Report as at 21 June 2017.	

Engagement and Communications

All consultation has been conducted internally with relevant officers to ensure the timely completion of outstanding status items on the registers.

LEGISLATION AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no legislative requirements for the ARG Committee to receive these reports.

Policy 1/022 'Risk Management Policy' outlines the Town's responsibility to manage and monitor risks. The policy does not require the status of any risks that are identified to be presented to the ARG Committee, however, by presenting them to the ARG Committee, the Town is promoting accountability and transparency.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no financial implications associated with the ARG Committee receiving or accepting the bi-monthly status reports, as they are for information purposes only.

STRATEGIC AND RISK IMPLICATIONS

Environmental

There are no significant identifiable environmental impacts arising from adoption of the officer's recommendation.

Economic

There are no significant identifiable economic impacts arising from adoption of the officer's recommendation.

Social

There are no significant identifiable social impacts arising from adoption of the officer's recommendation.

Financial

There are no identifiable financial risks arising from the adoption of the officer's recommendation.

Strategic

Section 4.1 'Strategic and best practice local government administration' of the Town's Strategic Community Plan 2014-2024 applies as monitoring previous committee decisions and providing an update to the ARG Committee assists with delivering high quality corporate governance accountability.

Risk

The risk rating for this item has been removed, as it is for information purposes only. Each item that is listed on the attached reports would have been given a risk rating when they were presented to the ARG Committee for adoption or endorsement, and placed on the Town's register of risks derived from Council decisions.

OPTIONS

Option 1 - Adopt Officer's Recommendation

Option 2 – Do not adopt Officer's Recommendation

Should the ARG Committee not wish to adopt the officer's recommendation to receive the attached reports, this would be achieved simply by the vote being lost.

CONCLUSION

The bi-monthly reports to the ARG Committee showing the status of the ARG Committee's outstanding decisions, the completed ARG Committee decisions over the last 90 days, and the a register of risks derived from Council decisions with risk ratings over ten (10).

The ARG Committee are not required to endorse or action the attached reports, the reports are for information purposes only. These reports are being presented at each meeting as requested by the Committee.

12.1.3 Funding and Donations for the period of 1 July to 30 June 2017

File No:	02/05/0001
Applicant/ Proponent:	N/A
Subject Land/ Locality:	Town of Port Hedland
Date:	29 August 2017
Author:	Fiona Brough, Finance Officer
Authorising Officer:	Robert Leeds, Acting Director Corporate & Performance
Disclosure of Interest from Author:	Nil
Authority/Discretion:	Advocacy
	Legislative
	□ Quasi-Judicial
	☑ Information Purposes

Attachments:

1. List of funding and donations made for the period 1 April 2017 to 30 June 2017

ARG201718/038 OFFICER RECOMMENDATION/ AUDIT, RISK AND GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE DECISION

MOVED: CR NEWBERY

SECONDED: CR TAVO

That the Audit Risk and Governance Committee receive the final list of funding and donations made for the period of 1 April 2017 to 30 June 2017 in accordance with the 2016/17 adopted budget.

CARRIED 7/0

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The guidelines to the Town of Port Hedland Funding and Donations Policy 6/003 state the Chief Executive Officer is to submit quarterly reports to the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee detailing all funding and donations that have been made since the previous quarter. Attachment 1 lists \$60,360 of funding and donations paid for the period 1 April 2017 to 30 June 2017. This is the revised final total. The ARG agenda item for the meeting held on 4 July 2017 was due for submission prior to the period ending 30 June 2017. At this point in time five applications were pending approval and payment. These have since been approved and paid.

DETAIL

Town of Port Hedland Policy 6/003 Funding and Donations was adopted at Ordinary Council Meeting held 27 January 2011. The policy was last amended 22 June 2016 (201516/265). The objective of the policy is to ensure Council allocates financial support to the community in the most effective manner within the guidelines and in within the budget allocation for the year.

As per the guidelines of Policy 6/003 Funding and Donations, attachment 1 lists \$60,360 of funding and donations paid during 1 April 2017 to 30 June 2017.

Engagement and Communications

Internal Consultation included:

• Acting Director Corporate & Performance.

External Consultation included:

• Applicants of funding and donations as stated in attachment 1.

LEGISLATION AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no Acts, Regulations and/or Local Laws applicable to this item.

Policy 6/003 Funding and Donations guidelines state Town of Port Hedland Chief Executive Officer is to submit quarterly reports to the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee detailing all Matched Funding Grants and Community Donations that have been made since the previous quarter.

Providing attachment 1 ensures compliance with this policy.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

\$170,025 was included in the 2016/17 adopted budget for community grants.

\$60,360 has been paid to successful and eligible community grant applicants from 1 April 2017 to 30 June 2017.

This leaves \$56,217.89 remaining uncommitted in the 2016/17 budget as at 30 June 2017.

STRATEGIC AND RISK IMPLICATIONS

Environmental

There are no significant identifiable environmental impacts arising from adoption of the officer's recommendation.

Economic

There are no significant identifiable economic impacts arising from adoption of the officer's recommendation.

Social

Community grants increase community access, inclusion and participation to events, programs and services.

Financial

There are no significant identifiable financial impacts arising from adoption of the officer's recommendation.

Strategic

Providing financial support to community groups and individuals under Policy 6/003 Funding and Donations contributes towards achieving the following outcomes identified in the Town of Port Hedland Strategic Community Plan 2014-2024:

- 1.1 A unified community across our townships
- 1.2 A vibrant community rich in diverse cultures
- 4.2 Engage our community and stakeholders

Risk

There is a risk rating of Low (3) assigned to the financial impact risk that Town of Port Hedland may exceed the budget and / or approve applications that are deemed ineligible as per Policy 6/003.

OPTIONS

Option 1 - Adopt Officer's Recommendation

Option 2 – Do not adopt Officer's Recommendation

Should the ARG Committee not wish to adopt the officer's recommendation to receive the attached report, this would be achieved simply by the vote being lost.

CONCLUSION

The guidelines to the Town of Port Hedland Funding and Donations Policy 6/003 state the Chief Executive Officer is to submit quarterly reports to the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee detailing all funding and donations that have been made for the period 1 July 2016 to 30 June 2017. Recommendation is to accept attachment 1 as compliance with guidelines included in Policy 6/003 Funding and Donations.

SUMMARY OF COMMUNITY FUNDING AND DONATIONS PAID FOR THE PERIOD 1 APRIL 2017 TO 30 JUNE 2017 - FINAL

J	170,025.00 E0810800 \$17,730.11 \$32,217.00	\$3,500.00 \$60,360.00 \$56,217.89	sly 1 funding? 8\$806 \$2,624.18	

ŝ

Less Payments made from 1 July 2016 to 30 September 2016 (previously presented to ARG Committee) Less Payments made from 1 October 2016 to 31 December 2016 (previously presented to ARG

2016/17 Budget for Community Grants

-ess Payments made from 1 January 2017 to 31 March 2017 (as per table below) -ess Payments made from 1 April 2017 to 30 June 2017 (as per table below)

Committee)

Balance in Budget as at 30 June 2017

Applicant	Amount Requested	Amount Paid	Funding Category	Project	Reason for Variation	Previously received funding? If so how much?
Sang Rok Hedland Judo Club	\$3,000	\$3,000	Community Support Grant	Cash support to attend State Judo Titles in Perth 2017	N/A	2014/15 \$\$806 2015/16 \$2,624.18
Michelle Kilmartin	\$500	\$500	Individual Youth Grant	Cash support for daughter Chelsea Kilmartin to attend 2017 Australian BMX Championships	N/A	No.
Filipino Australian Friendship Association	\$3,000	\$3,000	Community Support Grant	Cash support towards the costs of Filipino Australian Friendship Association Independence Day Dinner	N/A	Ň
Hedland Baptist Church Community Support Grant	\$3,000	\$2,860	Community Support Grant	Hedland Baptist Church - Tough Love Seminar	As per policy and guidelines we provided maximum funding to match the total amount contributed to the project by Hedland Baptist Church.	Ň
All Hedland Basketball Association Inc	\$3,500	\$3,000	Community Support Grant	All Hedland Basketball Association – Aussie Hoops Program	Maximum funding under this category is \$3,000.	N

AUDIT, RISK & GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES 5 SEPTEMBER 2017

ATTACHMENT 1 TO ITEM 12.1.3



SUMMARY OF COMMUNITY FUNDING AND DONATIONS PAID FOR THE PERIOD 1 APRIL 2017 TO 30 JUNE 2017 - FINAL



9	2015/16 - \$2,000	2015/16 - \$15,000 2015/16 - \$15,000	2015/16 - \$10,000	2015/16 - \$2,000	N	
	N/A	As per policy and guidelines, core organisation operating costs will not be funded. The approved funding amount was reduced on this basis.	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Expansion of Early Learning program through nature based play, expansion of learning areas and cultural activities.	Funding for the Mingle Mob Outreach Program to run monthly events at the South Hedland Youth Space / Skate Park	Funding for rescue equipment, tools and additional training for 4 Senior Rescue Skippers.	Year 10 Shooting Goals Program – NZ cultural experience and netball competition.	NAIDOC Ball and Award Presentations 2017	
	Community Partnership Grant	Community Partnership Grant	Community Partnership Grant	Community Support Grant	Community Support Grant	
	\$15,000	\$12,000	\$15,000	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$60,360
	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$64,000
	Rose Nowers Child Care Centre	Youth Involvement Council	Volunteer Marine Rescue Service	Hedland Senior High School – Shooting Goals	Port Hedland NAIDOC Committee c/o IBN Corporation	TOTAL

5 SEPTEMBER 2017

12.1.4 Adoption of the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Work Plan for 2017/18

File No:	12/01/0001
Applicant/ Proponent:	Nil
Subject Land/ Locality:	Nil
Date:	28 August 2017
Author:	Josephine Bianchi, Manager Governance
Authorising Officer:	Robert Leeds, Director Development, Sustainability and Lifestyle
Disclosure of Interest from Author:	Nil
Authority/Discretion:	□ Advocacy
	⊠ Executive
	□ Legislative
	□ Quasi-Judicial
	□ Information Purposes

Attachments:

1. ARG Committee Work Plan 2017/18 (under separate cover)

ARG201718/039 OFFICER RECOMMENDATION/ AUDIT, RISK AND GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE DECISION

MOVED: CR TAVO

SECONDED: CR ARIF

That the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee adopt the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Work Plan as noted in attachment 1.

CARRIED 7/0

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Audit, Risk and Governance (ARG) Committee is required to develop a proposed annual work plan in accordance with the Committee's adopted Terms of Reference. The ARG work plan 2017/18 provides a framework of all upcoming and ongoing items for the ARG Committee to consider, and at which meeting these items are scheduled to be tabled.

By providing the ARG Committee with this work plan, Committee members may take it upon themselves to research and make enquiries in advance on any items that are to be tabled at upcoming meetings. Subsequently, by having a work plan in advance and having Committee members research items that are contained in the work plan, it is considered beneficial to Council, as Committee members will have adequate information and knowledge of the items when they are to be considered by the Committee or endorsed to Council.

It is to be noted that all enquires and research by Committee members must be undertaken in accordance with the Committee's Terms of Reference and the Town of Port Hedland Code of Conduct.

BACKGROUND

In accordance with section 6.6 of the ARG Terms of Reference, the Committee is to adopt a work plan for the forthcoming year. At the ARG Committee Meeting held on 2 February 2017, the Committee resolved the following:

"MOVED: CR ARIF

SECONDED: MS PANGAHAS

That the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee request that the Chief Executive Officer organise a briefing on Tuesday 7 March 2017 at 4.30pm to determine the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Work Plan.

CARRIED 6/0"

Following this resolution, a workshop was held with ARG Committee members on 7 March 2017, at which the 2017 ARG work plan was discussed. The item was then presented to the ARG Committee for adoption at its 2 May 2017 meeting, where the motion lapsed for want of a mover, therefore the item is being re-presented to the Committee again for adoption.

It is important to note that the work plan is a constantly evolving document and that once the work plan is adopted by the Committee, it is still able to be amended as and when required.

CONSULTATION

Consultation was conducted with ARG Committee members and Town officers at a workshop held on 7 March 2017.

Following the workshop, internal consultation was held between the Director Corporate and Performance, Coordinator Governance and Governance Administration Officer to finalise the 2017 ARG work plan.

No further comments on the work plan have been received by any Committee members to date.

LEGISLATIVE IMPLICATIONS

The Terms of Reference (TOR) of the ARG Committee state that a work plan for the forthcoming year is to be developed.

Although the adoption of an ARG Committee work plan is not legislatively required, the TOR, which were adopted by the Committee, are a requirement of the *Local Government Act 1995* and the *Local Government (Audit) Regulations 1996.*

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no known policy implications relating to the adoption of the 2017 ARG work plan.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no known financial implications relating to the adoption of the 2017 ARG work plan.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

The Town's Strategic Community Plan 2014-2024 section 4.1 "Strategic and best practice local government administration" states that the Town is to deliver high quality corporate governance accountability and compliance. By adopting the 2017 ARG Committee work plan, this strategy is being met.

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Environmental

There are no significant identifiable environmental impacts arising from adoption of the officer's recommendation.

Economic

There are no significant identifiable economic impacts arising from adoption of the officer's recommendation.

Social

There are no significant identifiable social impacts arising from adoption of the officer's recommendation.

Risk

There is a risk rating of Low (4) assigned to the risk that if the ARG Committee do not adopt the 2017 ARG work plan, they will not be adhering to the ARG Committee Terms of Reference.

There is a risk rating of Low (4) assigned to the risk that officers do not adhere to the adopted 2017 ARG work plan, therefore not complying with the delivery of scheduled items to the Committee in accordance with the work plan.

OPTIONS

Option 1

The ARG Committee may choose to amend the Officer's Recommendation.

CONCLUSION

It is recommended that the ARG Committee adopt the work plan as noted in attachment 1, to allow the Committee members to have insight on what their Committee will be determining in the coming months. This will give the Committee ample time to research and make enquiries in relation to any upcoming agenda items, ultimately ensuring the Committee has adequate information to provide sound endorsement to Council.

Committee members are to note that the work plan, once adopted, is able to be amended at any time.

5 SEPTEMBER 2017

12.1.5 Outcome of Paxon Group Audits

File No: Applicant/ Proponent: Subject Land/ Locality: Date: Author: Authorising Officer: Disclosure of Interest from Author: Authority/Discretion:	13/04/0005 N/A N/A 29 August 2017 Josephine Bianchi, Manager Governance Robert Leeds, Director Development, Sustainability & Lifestyle Nil
	□ Legislative
	Quasi-Judicial

⊠ Information Purposes

Attachments:

- 1. Review of the Appointment of the Executive Officer in 2015 (Confidential Under separate cover)
- 2. Review of Redundancy Payments and Redeployment of Staff (December 2013 to August 2016) (Confidential Under separate cover)
- 3. Port Hedland International Airport Long-Term Lease (Under separate cover)
- 4. Review of Procurement Process (January 2016 to August 2016) (Confidential Under separate cover)
- 5. Extract of the Town of Port Hedland Business Improvement Plan (Under separate cover)

ARG201718/040 AUDIT, RISK AND GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE DECISION

MOVED: CR TAVO

SECONDED: MS PANGAHAS

That with respect to item 12.1.5 'Outcome of Paxon Group Audits', the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee close the meeting to members of the public as prescribed in section 5.23(2) of the *Local Government Act 1995.*

CARRIED 7/0

6:14pm The Presiding Member advised that the meeting is now closed to members of the public.

ARG201718/041 AUDIT, RISK AND GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE DECISION

MOVED: MR ATTWOOD

That the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee suspend sections 9.4, 9.5 and 9.9 of the Town of Port Hedland Standing Orders Local Law 2014 in accordance with section 18.2 'Suspension for Standing Orders' to discuss item 12.1.5 'Outcome of Paxon Group Audits'.

CARRIED 7/0

SECONDED: CR ARIF

AUDIT, RISK & GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES 5 SEPTEMBER 2017

6:15pm The Presiding Member advised that sections 9.4, 9.5 and 9.9 of the Town of Port Hedland Standing Orders Local Law 2014 are now suspended.

ARG201718/042 AUDIT, RISK AND GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE DECISION

MOVED: CR ARIF

SECONDED: CR TAVO

SECONDED: CR ARIF

That the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee resume sections 9.4, 9.5 and 9.9 of the Town of Port Hedland Standing Orders Local Law 2014.

CARRIED 7/0

6:31pm The Presiding Member advised that sections 9.4, 9.5 and 9.9 of the Town of Port Hedland Standing Orders Local Law 2014 are now resumed.

ARG201718/043 AUDIT, RISK AND GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE DECISION

MOVED: CR TAVO

That the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee open the meeting to members of the public.

CARRIED 7/0

6:32pm The Presiding Member advised that the meeting is now open to members of the public.

ARG201718/044 OFFICER RECOMMENDATION/ AUDIT, RISK AND GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE DECISION

MOVED: CR TAVO

SECONDED: CR ARIF

That the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee (ARG) recommend to Council it:

- 1. Note the outcome of the Paxon Group audits in relation to;
 - a) Process and procedures in relation to the appointment of the Executive Officer in 2015;
 - b) Process and procedures in relation to the redundancy payments and redeployment of staff for the period December 2013 through to 31 August 2016;
 - c) The Port Hedland International Airport long-term lease and the reason for the difference in:
 - i) reports presented to Council and utilised as part of the decision making process;
 - ii) the signed agreement;
 - iii) funding received in relation to the lease and related authorisations.

- d) Procurement events initiated between 1 January and 31 August 2016 including compliance with processes, procedures and legislative requirements. Procurement events is defined as:
 - Procurement over \$100,000 (ex GST);
 - Contract scopes;
 - Engagement of Contractors to fill Town of Port Hedland positions;
 - All Airport Capital Works procurement over \$100,000 (ex GST);
 - Airport procurement over \$100,000 (ex GST) and that has been identified in previous external audits; and
 - Does not include the engagement of Town of Port Hedland employees.
- 2. Note that the Town has given due consideration to all of the findings listed in the above mentioned audits; and
- 3. Note that all items considered as requiring action have been listed as part of the Town's Business Improvement Plan which is regularly submitted to the ARG for consideration.

CARRIED 7/0

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

At its Ordinary meeting of 28 September 2016, following a request for quotation process, Council appointed Paxon Group to undertake the following work:

- "a) Process and procedures in relation to the appointment of the Executive Officer in 2015;
- b) Process and procedures in relation to the redundancy payments and redeployment of staff for the period December 2013 through to 31 August 2016;
- c) The Port Hedland International Airport long-term lease and the reason for the difference in:
 - *i)* reports presented to Council and utilised as part of the decision making process;
 - *ii) the signed agreement;*
 - *iii)* funding received in relation to the lease and related authorisations.
- d) Procurement events initiated between 1 January and 31 August 2016 including compliance with processes, procedures and legislative requirements. Procurement events is defined as:
 - Procurement over \$100,000 (ex GST);
 - Contract scopes;
 - Engagement of Contractors to fill Town of Port Hedland positions;
 - All Airport Capital Works procurement over \$100,000 (ex GST);
 - Airport procurement over \$100,000 (ex GST) and that has been identified in previous external audits; and
 - Does not include the engagement of Town of Port Hedland employees."

The above audits have now been finalized and are presented to the ARG for noting. The Town also presents to ARG the actions that it has taken in relation to all of the audit findings.

DETAIL

In August 2016 Council requested the Town to engage a suitably qualified consultant to carry out a number of audits in relation to the above listed matters. Following a request for quotation process Paxon Group was appointed in September 2016. A number of on-site meetings were conducted by Paxon Group in February 2017, during which relevant officers were engaged and information collected. An update on the audits was given to the ARG in February 2017. The audits requested were finalized and sent to the Town in July 2017. These have been attached to this item for the ARG to note. Highlights from the audits are listed below.

Review of the Appointment of the Executive Officer in 2015

Findings in relation to the Town's processes surrounding the appointment of the Executive Officer are included in confidential attachment 1. All audit recommendations have been included in the Town's Business Improvement Plan.

Review of Redundancy Payments and Redeployment of Staff (December 2013 to August 2016)

Findings in relation to the Town's processes in relation to Redundancy Payments and Redeployment of Staff (December 2013 to August 2016) are included in confidential attachment 2. All audit recommendations have been included in the Town's Business Improvement Plan.

Port Hedland International Airport Long-Term Lease

No discrepancies were found with the audit objectives, as listed under attachment 3 of this report.

Review of Procurement Process (January 2016 to August 2016)

Findings in relation to the Town's Procurement Process (January 2016 to August 2016) are included in confidential attachment 4. All audit recommendations have been included in the Town's Business Improvement Plan.

Engagement and Communications

- Executive Leadership Team
- Acting Manager Finance
- Paxon Group

LEGISLATION AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Attachments 1 and 2 to this item have been made confidential under section 5.23 (a) of the Local Government Act 1995, which relates to matters affecting an employee or employees. Attachment 4 to this item has been made confidential under section 5.23 (c) of the Local Government Act 1995, which relates to a contract entered into, or which may be entered into, by the local government and which relates to a matter to be discussed at the meeting.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The audit cost of \$22,500 ex GST was included as part of the 16/17 budget.

STRATEGIC AND RISK IMPLICATIONS

Environmental

There are no significant identifiable environmental impacts arising from adoption of the officer's recommendation.

Economic

There are no significant identifiable economic impacts arising from adoption of the officer's recommendation.

Social

There are no significant identifiable social impacts arising from adoption of the officer's recommendation.

Financial

There are no significant identifiable financial impacts arising from adoption of the officer's recommendation.

Strategic

The Town of Port Hedland Strategic Community Plan section 4.1 'Strategic and Best Practice Local Government Administration' applies, as it outlines the following:

• Deliver high quality corporate governance accountability and compliance.

Risk

There is a risk rating of Low (2) assigned to the risk that the ARG committee does not recommend to Council it notes the Paxon Group Audits.

OPTIONS

Option 1 - Adopt Officer's Recommendation

Option 2 – Amend Officer's Recommendation

The ARG has the option to make any amendments it sees fit to the Officer's Recommendation on the provision the amendments reflect the Committee's terms of reference.

CONCLUSION

This item presents to the ARG Committee the outcomes of the Paxon Group Audit requested by Council in 2016, which was finalized in July 2017. Town officers note that audit findings are consistent with the improvements already identified through a number of internal reviews the Town has carried out, as well as other external reviews such as the Department of Local Government's Better Practice Review. Most findings and related recommendations have therefore already been actioned, and any outstanding items have been listed on the Town's Business Improvement Plan which is regularly presented and reviewed by the ARG.

Item 13 Motions of Which Previous Notice Has Been Given

Nil

Item 14 New Business of an Urgent Nature

Nil

Item 15 Matters for Which Meeting May Be Closed (Confidential Matters)

Nil

Item 16 Closure

16.1 Date of Next Meeting

The date of the next meeting of the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee is to be determined at the first Council meeting following the October 2017 Local Government Elections.

16.2 Closure

There being no further business, the Presiding Member declared the meeting closed at 6.34pm.